## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY 2 3 IN RE: VALSARTAN, LOSARTAN, AND CIVIL ACTION NUMBER: IRBESARTAN PRODUCTS LIABILITY 1:19-md-02875-RBK 4 5 LITIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE 6 VIA TELEPHONE 7 Mitchell H. Cohen Building & U.S. Courthouse 4th and Cooper Streets 8 Camden, New Jersey 08101 Wednesday, February 2, 2022 9 Commencing at 10:01 a.m. 10 BEFORE: THE HONORABLE ROBERT B. KUGLER, 11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE, and THE HONORABLE THOMAS I. VANASKIE (RET.), 12 SPECIAL MASTER 13 APPEARANCES: 14 MAZIE SLATER KATZ & FREEMAN, LLC 15 BY: ADAM M. SLATER, ESQUIRE 103 Eisenhower Parkway Roseland, New Jersey 07068 16 Counsel for Plaintiffs 17 KANNER & WHITELEY, LLC BY: DAVID J. STANOCH, ESQUIRE 18 701 Camp Street 19 New Orleans, Louisiana 70130 Counsel for Plaintiffs 20 21 John J. Kurz, Official Court Reporter 22 Johnjkurz@gmail.com (267)251-047423 Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography; transcript 24 produced by computer-aided transcription. 25

```
1
     APPEARANCES: (Continued)
 2
     DUANE MORRIS LLP
 3
     BY: SETH A. GOLDBERG, ESQUIRE
         REBECCA BAZAN, ESQUIRE
 4
         ALYSON WALKER LOTMAN, ESQUIRE
     30 South 17th Street
 5
     Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103
     Counsel for Defendants Prinston Pharmaceuticals,
 6
     Solco Healthcare U.S. LLC, and
     Zhejiang Huahai Pharmaceuticals Ltd.
 7
 8
     GREENBERG TRAURIG LLP
 9
     BY: VICTORIA DAVIS LOCKARD, ESQUIRE
         STEVEN M. HARKINS, ESQUIRE
10
     3333 Piedmont Road, NE, Suite 2500
     Atlanta, Georgia 30305
     Counsel For Defendants Teva Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd.,
11
     Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc., Actavis LLC, Actavis Pharma,
12
     Inc.
13
14
     BARNES & THORNBURG, LLP
     BY: SARAH E. JOHNSTON, ESQUIRE
         KRISTEN L. RICHER, ESQUIRE
15
     2029 Century Park East, Suite 300
16
     Los Angeles, California 90067
     Counsel for Retailer Defendants and CVS Pharmacy, Inc., and
17
     Rite Aid Corporation
18
19
     Also present:
20
     Larry MacStravic, The Courtroom Deputy
21
     Loretta Smith, Esquire,
     Judicial Law Clerk to the Honorable Robert B. Kugler
22
23
24
25
```

```
1
               (PROCEEDINGS, held via teleconference before the
 2
     Honorable Robert B. Kugler and the Honorable Thomas I. Vanaskie
 3
     (Ret.), Special Master, at 10:01 a.m. as follows:)
 4
               JUDGE VANASKIE: Good morning.
 5
               MS. LOCKARD: Good morning.
 6
               Are others hearing an echo of Judge Vanaskie?
 7
               MS. BAZAN:
                           Yes.
 8
               MS. LOTMAN: Yes.
 9
               JUDGE VANASKIE: Are you still hearing the echo?
10
               MS. LOCKARD: Yes.
11
               MS. LOTMAN: Yes.
12
               JUDGE VANASKIE: All right. Let me dial back in and
13
     see if that's better. Be right back.
14
               (Pause.)
15
               JUDGE VANASKIE: All right. Are you able to hear me?
16
     And we're still getting a feedback.
17
               COURT REPORTER: I can hear you fine, Judge.
18
     the court reporter.
19
               JUDGE VANASKIE: All right. Let's ask everybody to
20
    mute their phones unless they are the principal spokesperson
21
     for this call. We'll see if that works.
22
               Initially I called in -- I'm getting a feedback --
23
     initially I called in on my phone.
24
               MR. SLATER: Yes, Judge, you're getting an echo.
25
               JUDGE VANASKIE:
                                I don't know why.
```

```
1
               (Whereupon an off-the-record discussion was held.)
 2
               JUDGE VANASKIE: Let's everybody call back in.
 3
     right.
            Thanks.
               (Whereupon a brief recess was taken.)
 4
 5
               JUDGE VANASKIE: John, can you hear us? You're on
 6
            We'll assume by your silence you can hear us.
 7
     you're having a problem hearing us, pick up. I'm not echoing
 8
     anymore.
 9
               Are you able to hear me, Mr. Slater?
10
               MR. SLATER: I don't hear any more echo, Judge.
11
               JUDGE VANASKIE: Yeah. I think if John stays on
12
     mute, but only interrupts us if he has a question, I think
13
     we'll be all right. Let's proceed then with our call, okay?
14
               COURT REPORTER: Yes, Your Honor.
15
               JUDGE VANASKIE: Now, at the outset, there's a couple
16
     of things that I wanted to mention.
17
               First of all, I don't think we'll have Judge Kugler
     available until about 11:00. So it does not look like our call
18
19
     should require an hour, but I've been wrong before. But I just
20
     wanted to give you a heads up on that at the outset.
21
               Also, I wanted to raise with you all the question of
     whether any part of this call needs to be confidential.
22
23
               I guess, Larry, if you can hear us, do we have any
24
    members of the public or press on the phone?
25
               THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: None that I'm aware of.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

```
JUDGE VANASKIE: All right. So can we just conduct
the call without having to redial in for a confidential part?
I know in the defense letter they thought the issue dealing
with outstanding class representative depositions would need to
be held confidential.
          MS. LOTMAN: Your Honor, this is Alyson Lotman on
behalf of defendants. We put that designation in out of an
abundance of caution. However, the issues that would have
needed to have been confidential have already been -- they will
not need to be dealt with due to the fact that plaintiffs have
filed a voluntary dismissal of Ms. Fatigato's claims.
believe as long as plaintiffs' counsel agrees, we can proceed
without doing that under seal.
          JUDGE VANASKIE: All right. Mr. Slater.
          MR. SLATER: Plaintiffs do not believe anything needs
to be confidential.
          JUDGE VANASKIE: All right. Does anybody on the
defense side think we need to have something confidential here?
          (No response.)
          JUDGE VANASKIE: Silence indicates acquiescence.
We'll proceed.
          Before we get into the agenda letter --
          (Court reporter interrupted.)
          COURT REPORTER: Sorry, Your Honor.
          JUDGE VANASKIE: Before we get into the matters on
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
the agenda letter, there was one thing I wanted to ask, and I
think the question will be to you, Mr. Slater. The Clerk's
Office Quality Control discovered two valsartan cases filed for
the same named plaintiff and substantially the same defendants.
The plaintiff's name is Lee Ester Smoot, S-M-O-O-T. And we're
trying to find out whether that's a mistake and whether or not
one of those cases can be then dismissed.
          Have you looked into this?
          MR. SLATER: I wasn't aware of the issue, I don't
think.
       But we can look into it and get back to Your Honor or
get back to the clerk quickly.
          JUDGE VANASKIE: All right. I appreciate that.
          Loretta Smith, Judge Kugler's Law Clerk, had sent me
a copy of an email she sent to you on January 25th, raising the
issue of it appearing that there are two cases by the same
plaintiff but with different docket numbers and filing dates
and different attorneys and law firms. So if you go back or
maybe I'll ask Loretta to resend that email to you so that can
be investigated.
          MR. SLATER: Of course.
                                   I must have either
overlooked it or it went into the lost email land.
          JUDGE VANASKIE:
                           Okay.
          MR. SLATER: But we'll take care of it right away.
          JUDGE VANASKIE: All right.
                                       Thank you.
                         And if I just may speak.
          THE LAW CLERK:
                                                    This is
```

20 R-A-L-E-I-G-H, Wolfe, W-O-L-F-E, recently passed away, and 21 you're trying to ascertain whether that matter will continue forward, I take it. 22 2.3

Is that correct, Mr. Slater?

24

25

MR. SLATER: I think someone else will be addressing that question, Your Honor.

ć

JUDGE VANASKIE: Okay. Yes.

MR. STANOCH: Good morning, Your Honor. David Stanoch for plaintiffs. Your understanding is correct. We filed the voluntary dismissal for Ms. Fatigato earlier this morning, so that issue is resolved.

And you are correct as to the situation with Mr. Wolfe. He was on hospice and then passed away before the late fall and early winter holidays and during the COVID flare-up, and then we're assessing now which is next of kin; what, if any, additional steps we'll take. And we'll promptly inform defendants, as we've told them multiple times, once we're in a position to do something definitively.

JUDGE VANASKIE: All right. Very well.

And then there's also the Estate of Eleanora Deutenberg, D-E-U-T-E-N-B-E-R-G. Where does that stand, Mr. Stanoch?

MR. STANOCH: Your Honor, we finally have the necessary paperwork for the appointment of the estate administrator or representative, and that person will be deposed in the end of February. And I know defendants did not even identify that in their CMC letter as an issue. So we believe the issue has been resolved, and the deposition will take place hopefully in a few weeks.

JUDGE VANASKIE: All right. Who's addressing this issue for the defense?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
MS. LOTMAN: Alyson Lotman, Your Honor.
          With respect to the last one, the Estate of
Deutenberg, we understand that to be the case; that defense
counsel has been working with plaintiffs' counsel to notice
that deposition. And plaintiffs' counsel is correct, we did
not raise that in our letter. That is not one of our issues.
          And as I mentioned earlier, Your Honor,
Ms. Fatigato's claims were dismissed. Actually, it was
dismissed this morning. Our question remains with Mr. Wolfe.
Based upon my correspondence with plaintiffs' counsel,
Mr. Wolfe passed away sometime in November, and we have been
following up to determine what plaintiff intended to proceed.
We were advised in December that they would let us know
shortly. We're now -- I know they mentioned in their letter
imminently they'd let us know. Now they said "promptly."
          The question I would have, Your Honor, is
clarification on when we will have notification of whether they
intend to proceed; because if they do intend to proceed with
Mr. Wolfe's claims, we need to get his deposition scheduled as
we are rapidly -- we are well past the deadlines for
depositions and rapidly approaching the deadline for briefing.
          JUDGE VANASKIE: All right. Mr. Stanoch.
         MR. STANOCH: Your Honor, Dave Stanoch for
plaintiffs. Your Honor, this is a very delicate situation,
Your Honor.
            We'd ask for defendants' and the Court's
```

indulgence. We're talking about a potential single deposition of a substitute next of kin for a man who was on hospice with cancer, passed away, was not buried until December, between Thanksgiving and Christmas, in the midst of COVID. And we're working with the family sensitively to the personal nature of what happened with Mr. Wolfe, unfortunately. And once we have an answer, I cannot give you a date or defendants a date, but we're working on it in good faith and diligently.

And the worst case, Your Honor, is the deadline for

And the worst case, Your Honor, is the deadline for defendants' brief in seven and a half weeks comes and goes and there is no representative appointed and they simply say

Mr. Wolfe has passed and there's been no deposition of a next of kin who's been substituted, and that's that.

So we think there's no prejudice at this point. And we're happy to address this at the next CMC while we continue to work through it given the very delicate nature for the family of what happened.

JUDGE VANASKIE: Anything else on this issue?

MS. LOTMAN: Your Honor --

JUDGE VANASKIE: Go ahead. I'm sorry.

MS. LOTMAN: I'm sorry. I was just letting Your
Honor know, nothing else at this point. Just know that we are
sensitive to the issue regarding Mr. Wolfe's passing and tried
to give them time. Originally a deposition was noticed for
November, understood he was on hospice and moved it and said

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that it would be canceled and we would proceed afterwards. So we are trying to be very sensitive to the family in that situation and have tried to be respectful. We just need to at some point figure out what is going to be the plan here with respect to his case. Thank you, Your Honor. JUDGE VANASKIE: Thank you. And we'll ask for an update at the next conference we have in the case and just go from there. It is a sensitive matter. And if worse comes to worse and a representative has not been appointed in a timely manner to allow you to take the deposition, we'll address the consequences of that at that time. But in the meantime, let's just proceed. MS. LOTMAN: Thank you, Your Honor. JUDGE VANASKIE: All right. Thank you. And is it Ms. Walker Lotman? MS. LOTMAN: Yes, Your Honor. JUDGE VANASKIE: Do I have that correct? MS. LOTMAN: Ms. Lotman is fine. JUDGE VANASKIE: Okay. Ms. Lotman. Okay. I just wanted to make sure. All right. Let's proceed to the next issue then, which is the depositions of Defense Experts Bottorff, B-O-T-T-O-R-F-F, Britt, B-R-I-T-T, Chodosh, C-H-O-D-O-S-H, and Flack.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I take it there's a dispute here with respect not to the timing of their depositions but the duration of the depositions and the appropriate subject matter areas, or am I wrong on that issue? And who's addressing this issue for the plaintiffs? MR. SLATER: Hello, Your Honor. Adam Slater for the plaintiffs. The issue is -- and part of this, frankly, may start to spill into Judge Kugler's ambit because it overlaps with Daubert questions in terms of the scope of Daubert and the Daubert hearings and the scope of the general causation opinions that are going to be addressed in the upcoming Daubert hearings. But our immediate concern is that we had to wait until, as Your Honor saw, on Monday to finally get dates for these four experts. And unfortunately, the dates they gave us were at the very end of the process. As Your Honor knows, the deadline is March 10th. Two of the experts they put on March 8th, which is a day when there's at least one other expert already scheduled. they've now triple tracked on that day. Another one is March 10th and another one is March 1st, knowing that we have been told by Judge Kugler he's

going to be scheduling Daubert hearings for late February or

early March or at some point in March. So we really, number

one, believe that the defense -- and we would request that they provide us other dates in February that are more convenient and are not so likely to overlap with the Daubert hearings.

And then the other issue, which they really raised in their papers, I suppose in response to our request for dates, has to do with the scope of the depositions and what these witnesses would be allowed to testify to. Because as Your Honor knows, the general causation phase of expert reports is long over. Those reports were exchanged, the depositions were taken, and the briefs were filed, and the hearings are imminent, next month.

These experts, some of them have new general causation opinions, new analyses of general causation concepts and opinions. Some of these experts were general causation experts in the first round and they're now finding and changing and adding to those opinions, et cetera.

So as the defense said, they expect that we're going to take no testimony from these witnesses on general causation at this stage. And frankly, we agree, because as part of the briefing when the time comes, we intend to ask the Court to strike anything in these reports that is a general causation opinion because that was supposed to be done in the general causation phase.

So I don't know how much more back-and-forth needs to happen on that issue. And I don't know if that's something for

Your Honor or Judge Kugler. But the immediate concern is the scheduling, because we don't think it's really reasonable for them to jam us up with these dates during a time when we're either going to be in Daubert hearings or about to start Daubert hearings.

JUDGE VANASKIE: Okay. So let's see if we can move forward on the scheduling issue.

Who's addressing this issue for the defense?

MS. LOCKARD: Your Honor, good morning. It's

Victoria Lockard from Greenberg Traurig for the defendants.

First of all, so I think that the experts that we're talking about here, the four experts, they were identified in the general cause phase. They did give reports. They were deposed thoroughly and fully for the, you know, almost ten hours that was allowed in each case.

And we have now provided additional expert reports, supplements, that address the issues raised by plaintiffs' class certification expert. So the new reports are limited to class certification issues or to rebut and address issues and opinions that were presented in plaintiffs' class certification expert reports.

So we don't agree that they are expanding their general causation opinions. That phase of the case is done.

You know, we would not attempt to work additional opinions there. I think their prior testimony on that is complete. So

we certainly don't want to open them up to extended depositions where they get into rehashing of the general cause opinions.

You know, I think what's in their reports is fair game. If it's in the class certification report, it's fair game. It may be a matter of interpretation as to whether it leaches into general cause. Our position is that it doesn't. But I agree; if it's in the report and it's a new opinion, then they're entitled to ask questions about it.

What we don't want is to have a rehashing, and we don't want to have, you know, extended generalized questions about background, credibility, and all that because that was already dealt with. So that's the scope issue.

The second in terms of the timing, just as a reminder, the deadline for putting up defendants' experts on class certification is March 10th. The dates that we've offered are all plainly within that deadline. When we offered these dates on these four experts by email, I don't believe we've gotten any email response asking for new dates.

You know, we'll be glad to talk about new dates if these don't work for some reason other than the fact that there's potentially a Daubert hearing.

You know, when the Judge decided when the Daubert hearing would be, I'm sure Judge Kugler is well aware of the schedule and that we have other matters ongoing through March 10th on the class certification side. So those are

really two tracks, and I don't think that one should influence the other. We have a deadline of March 10th. We've complied with it.

In terms of the scheduling, if we move these earlier into February, keep in mind, we have 18 -- among all the defendants, there are 18 experts who have been disclosed for class certification purposes. So we have to get through not only the remainder of the plaintiffs' other class certification experts, which I think we have four left, and we're about, you know, we're well underway there, we also have to fit in all of these 18 experts. So if we move them earlier in the period, it's going to crunch everybody in February.

Again, we're happy to work with them if these dates don't work. But just to say they're at the end of the period and it's not convenient because folks would be preparing for Daubert, I don't think is a legitimate reason to ask that the dates be moved.

JUDGE VANASKIE: Thank you.

Mr. Slater.

MR. SLATER: Yes, Your Honor.

You know, you're being put in a situation where I think, to some extent -- I don't think that we're in a position to ask you to rule on anything technical as to the scope of what we're going to ask about qualifications or background or specific opinions because you don't have all this in front of

you, and I don't see how we could get all that in front of you quickly enough to get a full record to you.

These experts gave opinions and they're part of the class certification process, so we certainly can depose them on their qualifications and backgrounds to give the opinions that they're giving in these reports that are a part of this phase of the case. And the idea that we can't now depose them with an eye towards their qualifications to give the class-based opinions, we obviously completely disagree. And I don't see how there could be any legitimate argument the other way.

As far as this issue of general causation opinion, it's good to hear that the defense agrees that these witnesses were not allowed to offer any new opinions and that there could be no further general causation opinions offered by them. We certainly have the right to identify what those opinions are without going into the detail of going through the background because we're certainly not going to do something that allows the defense to supplement general causation opinions at this phase of the case.

So that was why I said some of this may have to be addressed by Judge Kugler. My sense is we're going to need to take the depositions and we're going to make a record, and then motions will be filed at the point in time when the schedule provides for it, and then the Court will decide what opinions are in and what are out, what parts of the report should be

stricken and what should not be stricken, et cetera, depending on the testimony in the record we establish. So that's, I think, where we land on that.

As far as scheduling, I get what she's saying. The schedule is what it is. That's why we raised the issue to say there's probably overlap now. And I can tell you that lawyers who are going to depose these witnesses are going to not just be background observers of the Daubert hearings but fully engaged depending on which witnesses are involved. We expect that at least some or all of us would be involved in those hearings.

Again, we don't know the scope of the Daubert hearings that Judge Kugler is going to request. We don't know how many witnesses are going to be asked to appear. We don't know what issues the Court is going to want addressed, et cetera. So who knows, maybe it turns out it's only a couple of witnesses and it's something that's only going to take a day or two and we could just schedule around that. I just wanted to make sure or we just wanted to make sure these issues were presented and get addressed because we obviously can't do both at the same time.

JUDGE VANASKIE: Anything else, Ms. Lockard?

MS. LOCKARD: Your Honor, I don't think so. I mean,

I would suggest we get through today with Judge Kugler, get

some more information about the Daubert process and scheduling,

and we can work with plaintiffs on scheduling.

You know, if we're in the middle of a three-day

Daubert hearing, you know, we can work around that. It doesn't

sound like this is going to be a major issue.

I will say, if they get outside of the scope in these depositions though, you know, I assume the offer stands as previous, that if we need to reach out to the Court, you'll be available.

JUDGE VANASKIE: Yes. That still stands in terms of being able to address --

MS. LOCKARD: Okay. We'll try to avoid that from happening. But I think that's probably the best way to proceed.

JUDGE VANASKIE: Yes. I think right now the issue has been raised, so it's on our radar screens. I think you have to wait and see what Judge Kugler will have to say with respect to the scheduling of the Daubert hearings. And if there is a conflict, then you're going to have to have discussions among yourselves in terms of coming up with other dates.

I do agree with Mr. Slater that you should not be in a position where you have to take depositions of these class certification experts at the same time that the Daubert hearing is being conducted. So you may have to adjust your dates.

And if there are problems that arise or disputes that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

arise with respect to the scope of the deposition, the scope of the questioning, well, we'll address that at that time. But I think that's about all we can do on this issue at this time. All right. Let's go to the next issue. Thank you, Mr. Slater. Let's move to the next issue, and that's the dismissal of claims of Medical Monitoring Class Representatives Daring, D-A-R-I-N-G, and O'Neill, with two Ls. Where does this stand right now? And who's addressing it for the plaintiffs? MR. STANOCH: Good morning again, Your Honor. David Stanoch for plaintiffs. It's our position, Your Honor, as set forth in our letter, that there's no action to be taken by Your Honor now, or there really could be, quite frankly. Defendants let us know just last week that they think two of the medical monitoring class representatives do not fit the proposed class definition exposure criteria. We are assessing that. We will assess it in good faith. We always have been professional, reasonable, and acted in good faith with similar requests. record shows, when appropriate, we have voluntarily dismissed several class representatives, including Ms. Fatigato, which we just talked about on the "econ loss" side a moment ago, and we've agreed to dismiss a number of defendants when appropriate on certain claims as well.

So we will certainly take it under consideration.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And if we agree, we can voluntarily dismiss them. But we don't think it's appropriate now for us to be cajoled into doing this now immediately, certainly within four or five days of getting a letter about this for the first time from defendants when, again, the worst case, Your Honor, is what, that when they file their class certification brief in nearly seven or eight weeks, they simply say in a sentence or two that two class representatives do not meet the criteria, and that is that. So we don't believe -- so while we are aware of the situation, and we'll certainly respond to it in good faith, we do not believe there's anything that we should be compelled to do or that the Court needs to do at this time. JUDGE VANASKIE: All right. And who's addressing this issue for the defense? MS. BAZAN: Rebecca Bazan on behalf of the ZHP parties and the defendants, Your Honor. I think the parties agree on many points here. Defendants agree that we shouldn't have to be before Your Honor on this issue. And we also agree that plaintiffs and defendants have worked cooperatively to dismiss parties that plaintiffs improperly named in the medical monitoring master complaint after defendants informed plaintiffs' counsel of that fact. Plaintiffs say that they want more time to assess

whether to dismiss the claims of Ms. Daring and Mr. O'Neill,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

but plaintiffs have had nearly three years to identify class representatives and make sure they meet their class definition. So the time for assessing and figuring out whether the putative class representatives meet the class definition should have long expired. Defendants' class certification opposition briefing is underway, and we think that only real substantive issues should be put before the Court for resolution on class certification. And the parties shouldn't waste the Court's time and resources on briefing about class representatives that no one disputes don't meet the class definition. So that's why we're seeking prompt resolution of this matter now. MR. STANOCH: Your Honor, if I may. JUDGE VANASKIE: You may. MR. STANOCH: Your Honor, while we conduct our assessment, we do dispute that there is an issue here. Defendants are essentially trying to litigate a summary judgment or class certification issue informally via a discovery dispute and submitting evidence to Your Honor as exhibits with their CMC letter. Again, we don't think this is the appropriate juncture procedurally or substantively for any action or ruling at this time on this issue. JUDGE VANASKIE: Is this an issue we can defer until our next conference?

MR. STANOCH: Your Honor, David Stanoch again.

Ι

1 would certainly agree that, at a minimum, that would be 2 appropriate and would afford us additional time. 3 JUDGE VANASKIE: All right. Yeah. I think that's what we'll do. 4 5 You know, I'm sure you want to resolve these matters 6 as promptly as possible so you know who's in and who's not in 7 as far as class representatives are concerned. The plaintiffs 8 have voluntarily dismissed class representatives in the past, 9 and I'm sure they'll take a look at this and then we can 10 address it at the next conference. 11 I agree, too, it may not be an issue that can be 12 resolved and may need motion practice. I'm not inviting that 13 or suggesting it. And it would not be a motion for me. It may 14 be a motion for partial summary judgment. But in any event, I 15 don't think there's anything more we can do on this issue at 16 this time. 17 Again, it has been placed on our radar screens and it's something that will have to be attended to by the 18 19 They're going to have to make a decision with plaintiffs. 20 respect to Ms. Daring and Mr. O'Neill, but it doesn't need to 21 be done right now. So we'll just defer on this issue. 22 MS. BAZAN: Thank you, Your Honor. 23 Thank you very much. JUDGE VANASKIE: 24 I received notice that Judge Kugler is available.

Is there anything else you wanted to present to me

25

1 I don't know, Mr. Harkins, are you going to speak on 2 these orders to show cause? 3 MR. HARKINS: Yes, Your Honor. Good morning. This 4 is Steve Harkins from Greenberg Traurig for Teva defendants in 5 the joint defense group. 6 And we do have one update on the orders to show cause 7 that we've listed in the PFS deficiency section of our letter. 8 JUDGE KUGLER: Okay. Go ahead. 9 MR. HARKINS: The two cases, the Byrnes and Maedler 10 cases, are resolved, and we would like to withdraw those orders 11 to show cause. 12 JUDGE KUGLER: Okay. 13 MR. HARKINS: However, the Mills matter we are 14 similarly asking to defer that order to show cause until next 15 month's CMC. 16 So the Mills, Louissant, and Thorn matters, we would 17 ask the Court to relist for the next scheduled Case Management Conference. 18 19 JUDGE KUGLER: Okay. We'll take care of those next 20 month then, hopefully. 21 And you're apparently going to next month also, at the next meeting, talk about other first and second listings; 22 23 is that correct? 24 MR. HARKINS: Yes, Your Honor. And we agreed with 25 plaintiffs, given the scheduling around the holidays and the

last two CMCs, to defer listing any further cases. But we will resume that, and just wanted to advise the Court that we will continue that prior practice at the next CMC.

JUDGE KUGLER: Okay. We also at the last meeting raised, I talked about the Daubert hearings coming up. They'll start March 1st. March 1st, 2nd, 3rd and 4th. We'll have those by Zoom.

And there's been some questions and some of you have written in and asked about the format, how this is all going to work. I indicated the last time and I'll maybe flesh it out a little bit now.

First of all, you're going to have to decide whether or not you want to take advantage of the opportunity to present your expert at a Daubert hearing. There's no requirement that you do so. You can rely on your reports and the deposition testimony if you so choose.

The purpose of the Daubert is for you to have your expert respond to your adversary's motion to disqualify your expert, and respond point by point, if you want, if you think you need to do that, if you don't think it's adequately addressed in the report or in the deposition testimony that you have.

And the way to do it, the way we're going to do it is that if you think you need to respond, have your expert respond with the Daubert points being raised by your adversary who's

brought the motion and take the form of an affidavit or declaration by your expert addressing the points. Your expert will be bound obviously by the affidavit or declaration at that point.

The person bringing the motion then would have the opportunity to cross-examine this expert on the points raised in the affidavit or the declaration. We're not going to be varying from these affidavits or declarations. That's sort of going to be set in stone what your experts say at that point. Again, we'll do this live by Zoom. Again, I don't want any evidence by affidavit, declaration or testimony as to qualifications. It's neither necessary nor desired. You all understand the Circuit law on qualifications. Very minimal qualifications is all you need. And the experts in this case have demonstrated that.

I really don't care how many degrees your expert has from various academic institutions; what other credentials he or she has; how many symposiums he or she has participated in; how many good deeds they've done. This is not the opportunity to put on a dog-and-pony show so that I'm impressed with one side's experts over the other. That's not what the point of Daubert is.

If you get to that stage, then, you know, you're welcome to do it to a respective juror so they can make any decisions they want based on that. But I'm not impressed by

that stuff.

The point of Daubert in this case is for me as a gatekeeper to determine the science and whether it's valid methodology. I only care how and why the experts arrived at the opinion.

An example I can give you, I can give you a hypothetical. And I'll use New Jersey because that's what I am. And you may know, if you're from New Jersey, that you can't pump your own gas in New Jersey. It's crazy, but it's true. So you have these gas station attendants coming out and stick gas in your car.

Well, let's think, let's suppose -- and this is purely hypothetical -- that some doctor or scientist thinks that the exposure to those fumes to those gas station attendants, it may be from the ethanol, that that is causing cancer in gas station attendants. So let's assume the government wants to find out if the exposure to these gas station attendants actually causes cancer. So it decides to award a grant to -- I don't know. Just pick anybody. Sloan Kettering, somebody like that who everybody knows is in the cancer treatment business.

So it decides to give a \$10 million grant to Sloan Kettering to decide the question of whether exposure by these gas station attendants to this ethanol causes any cancers, completely divorced from any litigation, completely divorced

from any considerations of compensation, just pure science at this point.

And I think there would be wide agreement in the scientific community how someone like Sloan Kettering would structure such a study, completely, again, divorced from litigation or the possibility of compensation. And that's really, I think, what gatekeepers are looking for. How did you arrive at your opinion? And why did you arrive at that opinion?

And if there was no litigation here, if there was no considerations or compensation, would the scientists arrive at the same opinion the same way? That's what I'm looking for.

That's all I care about.

And I don't expect that these experts, if you choose to have a hearing for them, I don't expect that to take longer than about two hours each, because there will be no direct testimony. So I think the four days will be sufficient.

What I'm going to ask counsel to do -- and you have not quite four weeks to do it -- is to get together on both sides and propose the dates when your specific expert will be available for a Zoom hearing. And then we'll just -- we'll fix those dates, March 1st, 2nd, 3rd or 4th, and get these things done at that time.

So any questions about the Daubert hearing?

MR. SLATER: Hello, Your Honor. It's Adam Slater for

the plaintiffs.

One question is a scheduling question. And, again, I thank you for your guidance. It helps us a lot to understand what's expected.

I don't know which of the experts the plaintiffs may propose to actually produce. I am aware that at least one of the plaintiffs' experts has already told me that he would not be available before March 5 because of a grant that's being worked on and it has to be finalized on that day.

I don't know if any other experts would have that issue. And I understand that Your Honor's given us the scheduling. But I felt that I would be not doing my job if I didn't at least raise the question of if there's a real conflict that an expert has with being able to do it that week, is there any possibility of having another day or so, maybe the following week or something, just so that we can accommodate if someone has a legitimate professional conflict that's already longstanding or something of that nature.

JUDGE KUGLER: Sure. We could do it the 14th, the week of the 14th, or the 14th, 15th, something like that, if there's a legitimate conflict.

MR. SLATER: All right.

JUDGE KUGLER: And, you know, if there's health issues, too, I get it. People, you know, if there's a health issue and they can't make it that week, I get it. We'll do it

```
1
     the week of the 14th, okay?
 2
               MR. SLATER: Thank you. I appreciate that.
 3
               THE COURT: Anybody else have any questions?
               MS. LOCKARD: Your Honor, it's Victoria Lockard from
 4
 5
     Greenberg Traurig. Just a couple of questions and
 6
     clarification.
 7
               So I very much understand there will be no direct
 8
     testimony of these experts, but after there is a
 9
     cross-examination, say, will the other side then get an
10
     opportunity to do what would be rebuttal or a redirect
11
     examination of their own witness in response to the cross?
12
               JUDGE KUGLER: Yes, in response to the cross. It has
13
     to be confined to the questions raised on the cross, but, yes,
14
     of course.
15
               MS. LOCKARD: Understood.
16
                     Second question, and I apologize if you gave
17
     this, but when would you want to see the affidavits or the
     declarations?
18
19
               JUDGE KUGLER: Well, that's a good question.
                                                             Can I
20
     get them -- how about February 24th? That's a Thursday, I
21
    believe. Can we have them by then?
22
               MS. LOCKARD: That should be doable, I would think.
23
               MR. SLATER:
                            That makes sense, Your Honor.
24
     Adam Slater. I think that makes sense.
25
               JUDGE KUGLER: Any other questions about Daubert
```

1 hearings? 2 (No response.) 3 JUDGE KUGLER: Okay. Settlement counsel, I've gotten some letters. The manufacturers, by letter of January 27th, 4 5 asked me to extend their deadline to February 23rd. Anybody 6 want to speak about that? 7 MR. GOLDBERG: Your Honor, this is Seth Goldberg on 8 behalf of the manufacturer defendants. And as set forth in 9 that letter requesting that extension, all of the manufacturer 10 defendants are hard at work trying to vet their candidates and 11 finalize their selections. It is taking time given the number 12 of parties on both sides, the need for these candidates to 13 clear conflicts, the need for the manufacturer defendants to do 14 a full vetting. Obviously, this is going to be a significant 15 undertaking. And each of the parties, each of the manufacturer 16 defendants wants to make sure they're comfortable with their 17 selection. And the additional time is really necessary to make sure that all of that vetting is completed. 18 19 In addition, as we noted for the ZHP parties, there's 20 this additional issue of the Chinese New Year, which has 21 required them to close their offices for this entire week 22 starting last week, and they'll be back in next week. 2.3 JUDGE KUGLER: Okay. Your request is granted then. 24 MR. GOLDBERG: Thank you, Your Honor. 25 JUDGE KUGLER: Now, I have also a request from the

wholesalers and retailers, or what they call themselves, the "downstream defendants." And thank you for that moment of levity.

I want to disabuse you of the notion that somehow you can sit back and let this case roll on without you because you have indemnity agreements apparently. And I know there were some issues about those and the plaintiff wants them and all that. And I know Judge Vanaskie is addressing that issue.

Counsel, there's no reason why you cannot participate, counsel for downstream defendants, cannot participate in settlement discussions. If you really have a contract of indemnity, you can certainly settle the claim. And if the plaintiffs are smart — and I think they are — they would be more than happy to accept some reasonable settlement. And given the size of some of the defendants, it may not even be material to your bottom line.

I mean, you, the settling defendant, based under New Jersey law, they're on notice, but the manufacturers or whoever you have the indemnity with is on notice and the settlement is reasonable, you can chase them all you want after that. It's not a bar to participating in settlement discussions.

So I'm not sure why you think you should be excused from appointing independent settlement counsel, and your application for excuse from that is denied.

Anything else we want to talk about today?

```
1
                           Your Honor, it's Adam --
              MR. SLATER:
 2
               MS. RICHER: Good morning, Your Honor.
 3
    Kristen Richer on behalf of the retail pharmacy defendants.
    understand the Court's ruling. I do want to say it was not our
 4
 5
     intent to sit back. We had simply suggested that we could
 6
     continue to participate through liaison channels as we have
 7
    been in other parts of the litigation. I understand that the
 8
    Court wants us to retain separate settlement counsel.
 9
               Separate from that, we had said in the event that the
10
    Court intends for us to retain separate counsel, that we also
11
    be afforded the same three weeks the manufacturers have sought
12
     so that we can attempt to do that. It is presenting some
13
     logistical challenges on our end as well. So we would
14
     appreciate the same extension, and we'll go from there.
15
               JUDGE KUGLER: Sure. No problem.
16
              MS. RICHER: Thank you.
17
               JUDGE KUGLER: Mr. Slater, you wanted to speak?
18
              MR. SLATER: Yes, Your Honor.
19
               JUDGE KUGLER: Go ahead.
20
              MR. SLATER: One thing. We had asked for only a
21
          But if you're going to allow the other -- the defendants
22
    to take till the 23rd, we would ask just for the same extension
23
     just to keep everything coordinated.
24
               And the other request we had made was if we can make
25
    these submissions to Your Honor ex parte or in camera because
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
I'm not sure what the defendants intend to submit.
thought maybe because this goes to work product and some
decisions about who we would be willing to work with or under,
you know, what we're going to put in our letter to Your Honor,
we thought maybe this would be the type of thing that all
parties should be able to submit to Your Honor ex parte so that
we can say things that we otherwise wouldn't say knowing that
the other parties would see the letters.
          JUDGE KUGLER: Mr. Goldberg, do you have any problem
with that or anybody on the defense have any problem with that?
          MR. GOLDBERG: I don't believe so, Your Honor. But I
quess we would appreciate having the opportunity to discuss
that and then come back to Your Honor if we do. But I don't
believe we would.
          JUDGE KUGLER: Okay. Well, why don't we just assume
it will be ex parte unless you object; and you'll let me know
promptly about that, okay?
                         Thank you, Your Honor.
          MR. GOLDBERG:
                         Any other questions about this issue?
          JUDGE KUGLER:
         MR. SLATER: Nothing for plaintiffs.
         MR. GOLDBERG: Nothing for defendants.
          JUDGE KUGLER:
                        Any other issues you'd like to raise
today?
         MR. GOLDBERG: Nothing from defendants, Your Honor.
          MR. SLATER:
                       I don't think anything for plaintiffs,
```

Your Honor. We had not discussed the indemnification agreement issue with Judge Vanaskie. I think maybe we all assumed Your Honor might address it because it related to the settlement discussions. But I can just say for the plaintiffs, and based on the letters and some email exchanges we've had even this morning, it's our hope to engage in a meet-and-confer for the purpose of making sure that the plaintiffs fully understand and

can confirm at the current time what indemnification agreements

are in place and what activity is had on them.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

For example, does a party say they're to be indemnified by another party and the one party says, well, no, we're not indemnifying you, or is there any dispute, so at least we're fully up-to-date on the status of the indemnification process as well so that we can negotiate in a knowledgeable way.

If we could do it through a meet-and-confer and we don't have to bother the Court further, that would be our preference.

JUDGE KUGLER: Well, you're not bothering the Court.

But I did read in the defense submission that they say that the 30(b)(6) witnesses gave you all the information. I mean, I don't know. I wasn't there. So maybe you ought to meet and confer with them on that issue of what it is that you don't think you have that you need.

I mean, I agree that you should have this

1 information, but the defense says they think you already have 2 it. 3 But maybe you could be more specific with them as to 4 what you think you need, okay? 5 MR. SLATER: Will do. Thank you. 6 JUDGE KUGLER: The courthouse has surely reopened. 7 But I want to do these hearings by Zoom anyway. I think people 8 are probably still uncomfortable about getting together, 9 particularly coming some distance to the courthouse to do this. 10 So we'll continue -- we'll have these hearings by Zoom, the 11 Daubert hearings by Zoom, and we'll continue to do phone 12 conferences for the near future. 13 But hopefully at some point, not too long from now, we can all get together. And I look forward to that date. 14 15 All right, everybody. Stay well. Stay safe. 16 we'll talk to you soon. 17 Thank you. 18 MR. GOLDBERG: Thank you, Your Honor. 19 MR. SLATER: Thank you, Your Honor. 20 MS. LOCKARD: Thank you, Your Honor. 21 JUDGE KUGLER: And Loretta, if you're on the line, 22 Loretta, would you please send me the link for the next 2.3 conference? 24 THE LAW CLERK: Yes. Of course, Judge. 25 JUDGE KUGLER: Thank you.

```
1
               COURT REPORTER: Thank you, Your Honor.
 2
               (Telephonic proceedings concluded at 11:01 a.m.)
 3
              FEDERAL OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
 4
 5
            I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript
 6
     from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
 7
 8
 9
     /S/John J. Kurz, RMR-CRR-CRC
                                                2/3/2022
10
     Court Reporter/Transcriber
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

		<u>57645</u>	index:	COURT REPORTER:briefs
	17th [1] 2/4	<b>ADAM</b> [6] 1/15 7/2 12/6	another [5] 7/8 12/22	assumed [1] 36/2
COLIDE DEPONEED.	<b>18</b> [3] 16/5 16/6 16/11	29/25 31/24 34/1	12/22 30/15 36/11	<b>Atlanta</b> [1] 2/10
COURT REPORTER:	19103 [1] 2/5	adding [1] 13/16	answer [1] 10/7	attempt [2] 14/24 34/12
[ <b>5</b> ] 3/17 4/14 5/24 24/12 38/1	1:19-md-02875-RBK [1]	addition [1] 32/19	<b>any [25]</b> 4/10 4/22 4/23	attendants [5] 28/10
JUDGE KUGLER: [25]	1/4	<b>additional [6]</b> 8/10 14/16	8/10 15/18 17/10 17/13	28/15 28/16 28/18 28/24
24/17 24/21 25/8 25/12	1st [4] 12/23 26/6 26/6	14/24 23/2 32/17 32/20	22/21 23/14 26/1 27/10	attended [1] 23/18
25/19 26/4 30/19 30/23	29/22	address [9] 10/15 11/11	27/24 28/24 28/25 29/1	attorneys [1] 6/17
31/12 31/19 31/25 32/3	2	14/17 14/19 19/10 20/2	29/24 30/10 30/15 31/3	available [5] 4/18 19/8
32/23 32/25 34/15 34/17		23/10 24/3 36/3	31/25 35/9 35/10 35/19	23/24 29/21 30/8
34/19 35/9 35/15 35/19	2/3/2022 [1] 38/9	addressed [5] 12/12	35/22 36/12	avoid [1] 19/11
35/22 36/19 37/6 37/21	<b>2022</b> [2] 1/8 38/9 <b>2029</b> [1] 2/15	17/21 18/15 18/20 26/21 addressing [8] 7/24 8/24	anybody [5] 5/17 28/19	award [1] 28/19
37/25	23rd [2] 32/5 34/22	12/4 14/8 20/9 21/13 27/2	31/3 32/5 35/10 anymore [1] 4/8	aware [5] 4/25 6/9 15/23 21/9 30/6
JUDGE VANASKIE:	24th [1] 31/20	33/8	anything [11] 5/15 10/18	away [5] 6/23 7/20 8/7
[43]	<b>2500</b> [1] 2/10	adequately [1] 26/20	13/21 16/23 18/22 21/11	9/11 10/3
MR. GOLDBERG: [9]	<b>251-0474</b> [1] 1/22	adjust [1] 19/24	23/15 23/25 24/6 33/25	
24/7 24/20 32/7 32/24	<b>25th</b> [1] 6/14	administrator [1] 8/19	35/25	B
35/11 35/18 35/21 35/24 37/18	<b>267</b> [1] 1/22	advantage [1] 26/13	anyway [2] 24/25 37/7	B-O-T-T-O-R-F-F [1]
MR. HARKINS: [4]	<b>27th</b> [1] 32/4	adversary [1] 26/25	apologize [1] 31/16	11/24
25/3 25/9 25/13 25/24	<b>2nd</b> [ <b>2</b> ] 26/6 29/22	adversary's [1] 26/18	apparently [3] 24/24	<b>B-R-I-T-T</b> [1] 11/24
MR. SLATER: [24]	3	advise [1] 26/2	25/21 33/6	back [14] 3/12 3/13 4/2
3/24 4/10 5/15 6/9 6/20		advised [1] 9/13	appear [1] 18/14	6/10 6/11 6/17 13/24
6/23 7/5 7/13 7/24 12/6	<b>30</b> [ <b>2</b> ] 2/4 36/21 <b>300</b> [ <b>1</b> ] 2/15	affidavit [4] 27/1 27/3	appearing [1] 6/15	24/10 24/10 24/11 32/22 33/5 34/5 35/13
16/20 24/2 24/19 29/25	<b>30305</b> [1] 2/10	27/7 27/11 affidavits [2] 27/8 31/17	<b>application</b> [1] 33/24 <b>appointed</b> [2] 10/11	background [4] 15/11
30/22 31/2 31/23 34/1	<b>3333 [1]</b> 2/10	afford [1] 23/2	11/10	16/24 17/16 18/8
34/18 34/20 35/20 35/25	3rd [2] 26/6 29/22	afforded [1] 34/11	appointing [1] 33/23	backgrounds [1] 17/5
37/5 37/19		after [4] 7/16 21/22 31/8	appointment [1] 8/18	bar [1] 33/21
MR. STANOCH: [7]	4	33/20	appreciate [4] 6/12 31/2	BARNES [1] 2/14
8/2 8/17 9/23 20/10 22/13 22/15 22/25	<b>4th [3]</b> 1/7 26/6 29/22	afterwards [1] 11/1	34/14 35/12	based [5] 9/10 17/8 27/25
MS. BAZAN: [3] 3/7	7	again [11] 16/13 18/12	approaching [1] 9/21	33/17 36/4
21/15 23/22		20/10 21/5 22/20 22/25	appropriate [6] 12/3	BAZAN [2] 2/3 21/15
MS. LOCKARD: [9]	<b>701</b> [1] 1/18	23/17 27/10 27/10 29/5	20/20 20/23 21/2 22/21	be [85]
3/5 3/10 14/9 18/23 19/11	<b>70130 [1]</b> 1/19	30/2	23/2	because [18] 9/18 12/9
31/4 31/15 31/22 37/20	8	agenda [3] 5/22 6/1 7/15	are [43] are rapidly [1] 9/20	13/7 13/19 13/22 14/2 15/11 16/15 16/25 17/17
<b>MS. LOTMAN: [9]</b> 3/8	8th [1] 12/19	<b>ago [1]</b> 20/22 <b>agree [11]</b> 13/19 14/22	areas [1] 12/3	18/20 28/7 29/16 30/8
3/11 5/6 9/1 10/19 10/21		15/7 19/21 21/1 21/17	argument [1] 17/10	33/5 34/25 35/2 36/3
11/14 11/17 11/19	9	21/18 21/19 23/1 23/11	arise [2] 19/25 20/1	been [16] 4/19 5/9 5/9
MS. RICHER: [2] 34/2	<b>90067</b> [1] 2/16	36/25	around [3] 18/18 19/3	8/22 9/4 9/11 10/12 10/13
34/16 THE COURT: [1] 31/3	<u> </u>	agreed [2] 20/23 25/24	25/25	11/10 12/23 16/6 19/15
THE COURTROOM		agreement [2] 29/3 36/1	arrive [3] 29/8 29/8	20/18 23/17 26/8 34/7
<b>DEPUTY:</b> [1] 4/25	a.m [3] 1/9 3/3 38/2	agreements [2] 33/6 36/8	29/11	<b>before [8]</b> 3/1 4/19 5/22
THE LAW CLERK: [2]	<b>able [5]</b> 3/15 4/9 19/10 30/14 35/6	agrees [2] 5/12 17/12	arrived [1] 28/4	5/25 8/7 21/18 22/8 30/8
6/25 37/24	about [32] 4/18 7/2 7/7	ahead [3] 10/20 25/8	as [36] 3/3 5/12 5/12 8/6	behalf [4] 5/7 21/15 32/8
	7/15 10/1 14/4 14/12 15/8	34/19	8/11 8/21 9/7 9/19 12/15	34/3
\$	15/11 15/19 16/9 16/24	Aid [1] 2/17 aided [1] 1/24	12/18 13/7 13/17 13/19 15/5 15/13 16/23 17/11	<b>being [6]</b> 16/21 19/10 19/24 26/25 30/8 30/14
<b>\$10</b> [1] 28/22	18/25 20/3 20/22 21/4	all [49]	17/11 18/4 18/4 19/6	believe [11] 5/12 5/15
<b>\$10 million [1]</b> 28/22	22/10 25/22 26/5 26/9	allow [2] 11/11 34/21	20/12 20/24 22/19 23/6	8/22 13/1 15/17 21/9
/	29/13 29/16 29/24 31/20	allowed [3] 13/7 14/15	23/6 23/7 23/7 27/11 28/2	21/11 24/5 31/21 35/11
/S/John [1] 38/9	31/25 32/6 33/7 33/25	17/13	32/8 32/19 34/6 34/13	35/14
/S/John [1] 38/9	35/3 35/17 35/19 37/8	allows [1] 17/17	36/14 37/3	best [1] 19/12
0	above [1] 38/6	almost [1] 14/14	ascertain [1] 7/21	better [1] 3/13
<b>0474</b> [1] 1/22	above-entitled [1] 38/6	already [6] 5/9 12/20	ask [13] 3/19 6/1 6/18	between [1] 10/3
<b>07068</b> [1] 1/16	abundance [1] 5/8 academic [1] 27/17	15/12 30/7 30/17 37/1	9/25 11/7 13/20 15/8	bit [1] 26/11
<b>08101</b> [1] 1/8	accept [1] 33/14	also [10] 2/19 4/21 7/7	16/16 16/23 16/24 25/17	both [3] 18/20 29/19
	accommodate [1] 30/16	8/14 16/10 21/19 25/21	29/18 34/22	32/12 hother [1], 36/17
1	acquiescence [1] 5/20	26/4 32/25 34/10 although [1] 24/24	asked [4] 18/14 26/9 32/5 34/20	bother [1] 36/17 bothering [1] 36/19
103 [1] 1/15	Actavis [2] 2/11 2/11	always [1] 20/18	asking [2] 15/18 25/14	bottom [1] 33/16
<b>10:01</b> [2] 1/9 3/3	acted [1] 20/19	ALYSON [3] 2/4 5/6 9/1	assess [2] 20/18 21/24	Bottorff [1] 11/23
10th [3] 12/18 15/15 16/2	action [3] 1/3 20/13	am [3] 12/3 28/8 30/6	assessing [3] 8/9 20/17	bound [1] 27/3
11:00 [1] 4/18 11:01 a.m [1] 38/2	22/22	ambit [1] 12/9	22/3	brief [3] 4/4 10/10 21/6
11:01 a.m [1] 38/2 14th [4] 30/19 30/20	activity [1] 36/9	among [2] 16/5 19/19	assessment [1] 22/16	<b>briefing [4]</b> 9/21 13/20
30/20 31/1	actually [3] 9/8 28/18	analyses [1] 13/13	assume [4] 4/6 19/6 28/16	22/6 22/10
15th [1] 30/20	30/6	Angeles [2] 2/16 7/11	35/15	briefs [1] 13/10

		57646	Ir	ndex: bringingdownstream
В	19/23 21/6 22/6 22/9	<b>confidential</b> [6] 4/22 5/2	<b>Dallas</b> [1] 7/11	delicate [2] 9/24 10/16
bringing [1] 27/5	22/18	5/5 5/9 5/16 5/18	Daring [3] 20/8 21/25	demonstrated [1] 27/15
Britt [1] 11/24	certify [1] 38/5	<b>confined</b> [1] 31/13	23/20	denied [1] 33/24
brought [1] 27/1	cetera [3] 13/16 18/1	confirm [1] 36/8	date [4] 10/7 10/7 36/13	depending [2] 18/1 18/9
Building [1] 1/7	18/16	conflict [4] 19/18 30/14	37/14	depose [3] 17/4 17/7 18/7
buried [1] 10/3	challenges [1] 34/13	30/17 30/21	dates [16] 6/16 12/15	deposed [2] 8/20 14/14
business [1] 28/21	changing [1] 13/15	conflicts [1] 32/13	12/16 13/2 13/5 14/3	<b>deposition [10]</b> 8/22 9/5
busy [1] 7/2	channels [1] 34/6 chase [1] 33/20	consequences [1] 11/12 consideration [1] 20/25	15/15 15/17 15/18 15/19 16/13 16/17 19/20 19/24	9/19 10/1 10/12 10/24 11/11 20/1 26/15 26/21
Byrnes [1] 25/9	Chinese [1] 32/20	considerations [2] 29/1	29/20 29/22	depositions [12] 5/4 7/16
$\overline{\mathbf{C}}$	Chodosh [1] 11/24	29/11	<b>Daubert [26]</b> 12/10 12/10	
C-H-O-D-O-S-H [1]	<b>choose</b> [2] 26/16 29/14	continue [6] 7/21 10/15	12/11 12/12 12/24 13/3	13/9 15/1 17/22 19/6
11/24	Christmas [1] 10/4	26/3 34/6 37/10 37/11	14/4 14/5 15/21 15/22	19/22
cajoled [1] 21/2	Circuit [1] 27/13	Continued [1] 2/1	16/16 18/8 18/12 18/25	<b>Deputy</b> [1] 2/20
California [1] 2/16	CIVIL [1] 1/3	contract [1] 33/12	19/3 19/17 19/23 26/5	designation [1] 5/7
call [8] 3/21 4/2 4/13 4/18	claim [1] 33/12	Control [1] 6/3	26/14 26/17 26/25 27/22	desired [1] 27/12
4/22 5/2 24/9 33/1	claims [6] 5/11 9/8 9/19	convenient [2] 13/2 16/15		detail [1] 17/16
called [2] 3/22 3/23	20/7 20/24 21/25	<b>Cooper [1]</b> 1/7 <b>cooperatively [1]</b> 21/20	Dave [1] 9/23 DAVID [4] 1/18 8/2	<b>determine</b> [2] 9/12 28/3
<b>Camden [1]</b> 1/8	clarification [2] 9/17 31/6	coordinated [1] 34/23	20/10 22/25	<b>Deutenberg [2]</b> 8/15 9/3 <b>dial [2]</b> 3/12 24/10
camera [1] 34/25	class [30] 5/4 7/15 14/18	copy [1] 6/14	DAVIS [1] 2/9	did [6] 8/20 9/5 14/13
Camp [1] 1/18	14/19 14/20 15/4 15/15	Corporation [1] 2/17	day [6] 12/19 12/21 18/17	
can [38] 3/17 4/5 4/6 4/23		correct [7] 7/23 8/3 8/6	19/2 30/9 30/15	didn't [1] 30/13
5/1 5/12 6/7 6/10 6/18 14/6 17/4 18/6 19/1 19/3	19/22 20/7 20/16 20/16	9/5 11/18 25/23 38/5	days [2] 21/3 29/17	different [2] 6/16 6/17
20/3 21/1 22/23 23/9	20/21 21/6 21/7 22/1 22/2	correspondence [1] 9/10	deadline [7] 9/21 10/9	diligently [1] 10/8
23/11 23/15 24/23 26/15		could [9] 17/1 17/10	12/18 15/14 15/16 16/2	direct [2] 29/16 31/7
27/24 28/6 28/6 30/16	22/11 22/18 23/7 23/8	17/13 18/18 20/14 30/19	32/5	<b>disabuse</b> [1] 33/4
31/19 31/21 33/5 33/12	class-based [1] 17/8	34/5 36/16 37/3	deadlines [1] 9/20	disagree [1] 17/9
33/20 34/12 34/24 35/7	clear [1] 32/13	counsel [18] 1/16 1/19	dealing [1] 5/3	disclosed [1] 16/6
36/4 36/8 36/14 37/14	<b>clerk [4]</b> 2/21 6/11 6/13 7/3	2/5 2/11 2/16 5/12 9/4 9/4 9/5 9/10 21/22 29/18 32/3		discovered [1] 6/3
can't [4] 17/7 18/20 28/9	Clerk's [1] 6/2	33/9 33/10 33/23 34/8	<b>December [2]</b> 9/13 10/3	discovery [1] 22/19 discuss [1] 35/12
30/25	close [1] 32/21	34/10	decide [3] 17/24 26/12	discussed [1] 36/1
canceled [1] 11/1	CMC [5] 8/21 10/15	couple [3] 4/15 18/16	28/23	discussion [1] 4/1
cancer [4] 10/3 28/16 28/18 28/21	22/20 25/15 26/3	31/5	decided [1] 15/22	discussions [4] 19/19
cancers [1] 28/24	CMCs [1] 26/1	course [3] 6/20 31/14	decides [2] 28/18 28/22	33/11 33/21 36/4
candidates [2] 32/10	Cohen [1] 1/7	37/24	<b>decision</b> [1] 23/19	dismiss [4] 20/23 21/1
32/12	come [1] 35/13	court [20] 1/1 1/21 3/18	decisions [2] 27/25 35/3	21/20 21/25
cannot [3] 10/7 33/9	<b>comes [3]</b> 10/10 11/9 13/20	5/23 7/3 13/20 17/24 18/15 19/7 21/12 22/8	<b>declaration [4]</b> 27/2 27/3 27/7 27/11	dismissal [3] 5/11 8/4 20/7
33/10	comfortable [1] 32/16	24/23 25/17 26/2 34/8	declarations [2] 27/8	20/7   dismissed [6] 6/7 7/18 9/8
car [1] 28/11	coming [4] 19/19 26/5	34/10 36/17 36/19 38/3	31/18	9/9 20/20 23/8
care [5] 6/23 25/19 27/16	28/10 37/9	38/10	deeds [1] 27/19	dispute [4] 12/1 22/16
28/4 29/13	Commencing [1] 1/9	Court's [3] 9/25 22/9	<b>defendant</b> [1] 33/17	22/19 36/12
case [14] 1/5 9/3 10/9 11/5 11/8 14/15 14/23	community [1] 29/4	34/4	defendants [32] 2/5 2/11	disputes [2] 19/25 22/11
17/7 17/19 21/5 25/17	<b>compelled</b> [1] 21/11	<b>courthouse</b> [3] 1/7 37/6	2/16 5/7 6/4 8/11 8/20	disqualify [1] 26/18
27/14 28/2 33/5	compensation [3] 29/1	37/9	10/7 14/10 16/6 20/14	<b>distance</b> [1] 37/9
cases [7] 6/3 6/7 6/15 7/6	29/6 29/11	Courtroom [1] 2/20	20/23 21/4 21/16 21/18	DISTRICT [3] 1/1 1/1
25/9 25/10 26/1	complaint [1] 21/22	COVID [2] 8/8 10/4	21/20 21/22 22/17 24/7	1/11
causation [12] 12/11 13/8	complete [1] 14/25 completed [1] 32/18	crazy [2] 7/3 28/9 CRC [1] 38/9	25/4 32/8 32/10 32/13 32/16 33/2 33/10 33/15	<b>divorced [3]</b> 28/25 28/25 29/5
13/13 13/13 13/14 13/18	_	credentials [1] 27/17	34/3 34/21 35/1 35/21	do [42]
13/21 13/23 14/23 17/11	28/25 29/5	credibility [1] 15/11	35/24	doable [1] 31/22
17/14 17/18	complied [1] 16/2	criteria [2] 20/17 21/8	defendants' [4] 9/25	docket [1] 6/16
cause [7] 14/13 15/2 15/6 25/2 25/6 25/11 25/14	computer [1] 1/24	cross [5] 27/6 31/9 31/11	10/10 15/14 22/6	doctor [1] 28/13
causes [2] 28/18 28/24	computer-aided [1] 1/24	31/12 31/13	<b>defense</b> [16] 5/3 5/18	does [5] 4/18 5/17 8/15
causing [1] 28/15	concepts [1] 13/13	cross-examination [1]	8/25 9/3 11/23 13/1 13/17	20/8 36/10
caution [1] 5/8	concern [2] 12/14 14/1	31/9	14/8 17/12 17/18 21/14	doesn't [3] 15/6 19/3
Century [1] 2/15	concerned [1] 23/7	cross-examine [1] 27/6 CRR [1] 38/9	24/6 25/5 35/10 36/20 37/1	23/20   dog [1] 27/20
certain [1] 20/24	concluded [1] 38/2 conduct [2] 5/1 22/15	crunch [1] 16/12	defer [4] 22/23 23/21	doing [4] 5/13 21/2 24/21
certainly [9] 15/1 17/4	conducted [1] 19/24	current [1] 36/8	25/14 26/1	30/12
17/15 17/17 20/25 21/3	confer [3] 36/6 36/16	CVS [1] 2/16	deficiency [1] 25/7	don't [46]
21/10 23/1 33/12 CEDTIFICATE (11 38/3	36/23	-	<b>definition [4]</b> 20/17 22/2	done [5] 13/22 14/23
CERTIFICATE [1] 38/3 certification [14] 14/18	<b>conference</b> [ <b>6</b> ] 1/5 11/8	<u>D</u>	22/4 22/11	23/21 27/19 29/23
14/19 14/20 15/4 15/15	22/24 23/10 25/18 37/23	D-A-R-I-N-G [1] 20/8	definitively [1] 8/12	down [1] 24/24
15/25 16/7 16/8 17/4	conferences [1] 37/12	D-E-U-T-E-N-B-E-R-G	degrees [1] 27/16	downstream [2] 33/2
		[1] 8/15		

downstream... [1] 33/10 **driving** [1] 7/3 **DUANE [1]** 2/2

D

due [1] 5/10 **duration** [1] 12/2 **during [2]** 8/8 14/3 Е each [4] 14/15 29/16 32/15 32/15 earlier [4] 8/4 9/7 16/4 16/11 early [2] 8/8 12/25 East [1] 2/15 echo [4] 3/6 3/9 3/24 4/10 echoing [1] 4/7 econ [1] 20/22 **eight** [1] 21/6 Eisenhower [1] 1/15 either [2] 6/20 14/4 **Eleanora** [1] 8/14 else [8] 7/24 10/18 10/22 18/22 23/25 24/6 31/3 33/25 **email [6]** 6/14 6/18 6/21 15/17 15/18 36/5 end [4] 8/20 12/17 16/14 34/13 engage [1] 36/6 **engaged** [1] 18/9 **enough [1]** 17/2 entire [1] 32/21 entitled [2] 15/8 38/6 **ESQUIRE [10]** 1/15 1/18 2/3 2/3 2/4 2/9 2/9 2/14 2/15 2/21 essentially [1] 22/17 **establish** [1] 18/2 **estate [3]** 8/14 8/18 9/2 Ester [1] 6/5 et [3] 13/16 18/1 18/15 ethanol [2] 28/15 28/24 even [3] 8/21 33/15 36/5 event [2] 23/14 34/9 **everybody** [**5**] 3/19 4/2 16/12 28/20 37/15 everyone's [1] 24/21 everything [1] 34/23 evidence [2] 22/19 27/11 **ex [3]** 34/25 35/6 35/16 examination [2] 31/9 31/11 examine [1] 27/6 **example [2]** 28/6 36/10 **exchanged** [1] 13/9 exchanges [1] 36/5 excuse [1] 33/24 **excused** [1] 33/22 **exhibits** [1] 22/20 **expanding** [1] 14/22 expect [4] 13/17 18/9 29/14 29/15 **expected** [1] 30/4 expert [15] 12/20 13/8 14/16 14/18 14/21 26/14

26/18 26/19 26/24 27/2

27/2 27/6 27/16 29/20 30/14 experts [24] 11/23 12/16 12/19 13/12 13/14 13/15 14/11 14/12 15/14 15/17 16/6 16/9 16/11 17/3 19/23 27/9 27/14 27/21 28/4 29/14 30/5 30/7 30/10 31/8 expired [1] 22/5 **exposure [4]** 20/17 28/14

28/17 28/23 extend [1] 32/5 **extended** [2] 15/1 15/10 extension [3] 32/9 34/14 34/22

extent [1] 16/22 eye [1] 17/8

F-A-T-I-G-A-T-O [1] 7/18

fact [3] 5/10 15/20 21/23 fair [2] 15/3 15/4 faith [4] 10/8 20/18 20/19 21/10

fall [1] 8/8 **family [3]** 10/5 10/17 11/2

far [3] 17/11 18/4 23/7 Fatigato [3] 7/17 8/4 20/21

Fatigato's [2] 5/11 9/8 **February [8]** 1/8 8/20 12/24 13/2 16/5 16/12 31/20 32/5

February 23rd [1] 32/5 February 24th [1] 31/20 **FEDERAL** [1] 38/3 feedback [2] 3/16 3/22 **felt [1]** 30/12 few [1] 8/23

figure [1] 11/4 **figuring** [1] 22/3 file [1] 21/5

**filed [5]** 5/11 6/3 8/4 13/10 17/23

filing [2] 6/16 7/6 **finalize** [1] 32/11 **finalized** [1] 30/9 **finally [2]** 8/17 12/15 **find [3]** 6/6 7/5 28/17

**finding** [1] 13/15 fine [2] 3/17 11/19 firms [2] 6/17 7/6

first [7] 4/17 7/14 13/15 14/11 21/4 25/22 26/12 **fit** [**2**] 16/10 20/16

five [1] 21/3 fix [1] 29/21 Flack [1] 11/25

flare [1] 8/9 **flare-up** [1] 8/9

**flesh** [1] 26/10 folks [1] 16/15 **following [2]** 9/12 30/16 follows [1] 3/3

foregoing [1] 38/5 form [1] 27/1 format [1] 26/9 forth [3] 13/24 20/12 32/8

forward [3] 7/22 14/7 37/14 **four [7]** 12/16 14/12

15/17 16/9 21/3 29/17 29/19

frankly [3] 12/8 13/19 20/14

FREEMAN [1] 1/14 front [2] 16/25 17/1 **full [2]** 17/2 32/14 fully [4] 14/14 18/8 36/7 36/13

fumes [1] 28/14 further [3] 17/14 26/1 36/17 **future** [1] 37/12

game [2] 15/4 15/5 gas [7] 28/9 28/10 28/11 28/14 28/16 28/17 28/24 gatekeeper [1] 28/3 gatekeepers [1] 29/7

gave [4] 12/16 17/3 31/16 36/21 general [15] 12/11 13/8

13/12 13/13 13/14 13/18 13/21 13/22 14/13 14/23 15/2 15/6 17/11 17/14 17/18 generalized [1] 15/10

Georgia [2] 2/10 7/17 get [24] 5/22 5/25 6/10 6/11 9/19 12/15 15/2 16/7 17/1 17/2 18/4 18/20 18/24 18/24 19/5 24/25 27/23 29/19 29/22 30/24 30/25 31/9 31/20 37/14

gets [1] 24/23 **getting [5]** 3/16 3/22 3/24 21/3 37/8

give [9] 4/20 10/7 10/24 14/13 17/5 17/8 28/6 28/6 | hear [8] 3/15 3/17 4/5 4/6 28/22

given [5] 10/16 25/25 30/11 32/11 33/15 giving [1] 17/6 **glad [1]** 15/19 gmail.com [1] 1/22 go [7] 6/17 10/20 11/8 20/4 25/8 34/14 34/19 goes [2] 10/10 35/2 going [33] 11/4 12/12 12/24 13/17 14/4 16/12 16/24 17/16 17/16 17/17 17/21 17/22 18/7 18/7 18/13 18/14 18/15 18/17 19/4 19/18 23/19 24/9 25/1 25/21 26/9 26/12 26/23 27/7 27/9 29/18 32/14 34/21 35/4

24/8 32/7 35/9 good [17] 3/4 3/5 8/2 10/8 1/11 2/21 3/2 3/2 14/9 17/12 20/10 20/18 20/19 21/10 24/17 24/19 24/20 25/3 27/19 31/19 34/2

gotten [2] 15/18 32/3 **government** [1] 28/17 grant [3] 28/19 28/22 30/8

granted [1] 32/23 GREENBERG [4] 2/8 14/10 25/4 31/5 ground [1] 24/22 group [1] 25/5 guess [2] 4/23 35/12 **guidance** [1] 30/3

had [10] 6/13 12/14 22/1 34/5 34/9 34/20 34/24 36/1 36/5 36/9 half [1] 10/10 hang [1] 24/9 happen [1] 13/25 happened [2] 10/6 10/17 **happening** [1] 19/12 happy [3] 10/15 16/13 33/14 hard [1] 32/10 HARKINS [3] 2/9 25/1 25/4 has [18] 4/12 8/22 9/4 10/12 11/10 13/6 19/15 23/17 27/16 27/18 27/18 30/7 30/9 30/14 30/17 31/12 32/20 37/6 have [76] having [5] 4/7 5/2 7/16 30/15 35/12 **he [8]** 4/12 8/7 10/25 24/23 24/24 27/17 27/18 30/7 he's [1] 12/23 heads [1] 4/20 health [2] 30/23 30/24 Healthcare [1] 2/6 4/9 4/10 4/23 17/12 hearing [11] 3/6 3/9 4/7 15/21 15/23 19/3 19/23 26/14 29/15 29/21 29/24 hearings [16] 12/11 12/13 12/24 13/3 13/10 14/4 14/5 18/8 18/11 18/13 19/17 26/5 32/1 37/7 37/10 37/11

**held [3]** 3/1 4/1 5/5

Hello [3] 12/6 24/17

here [7] 5/18 11/4 12/1

holidays [2] 8/8 25/25

14/12 21/17 22/16 29/10

helps [1] 30/3

his [2] 9/19 11/5

Honor's [1] 30/11

Honor [66]

29/25

**HONORABLE** [5] 1/10 hope [2] 24/21 36/6 hopefully [3] 8/23 25/20 37/13 hospice [3] 8/7 10/2 10/25 hour [1] 4/19 hours [2] 14/15 29/16 how [13] 7/2 13/24 17/1 17/10 18/14 26/9 27/16 27/18 27/19 28/4 29/4 29/7 31/20 **However [2]** 5/8 25/13

Huahai [1] 2/6 hypothetical [2] 28/7 28/13

**I'll [3]** 6/18 26/10 28/7 I'm [16] 3/22 4/7 4/25 10/20 10/21 15/23 23/5 23/9 23/12 24/9 27/20 27/25 29/12 29/18 33/22 35/1 I've [2] 4/19 32/3

idea [1] 17/7 **identified** [1] 14/12 identify [4] 8/21 17/15 22/1 24/22

**immediate** [**2**] 12/14 14/1 immediately [1] 21/3 **imminent** [1] 13/11 **imminently** [1] 9/15 **impressed** [2] 27/20 27/25

**improperly** [1] 21/21 Inc [3] 2/11 2/12 2/16 including [1] 20/21 indemnification [3] 36/1 36/8 36/14

indemnified [1] 36/11 indemnifying [1] 36/12 indemnity [3] 33/6 33/12 33/19

independent [1] 33/23 **indicated** [1] 26/10 **indicates** [1] 5/20 **indulgence** [1] 10/1 Industries [1] 2/11 **influence** [1] 16/1 inform [1] 8/11

**informally [1]** 22/18 information [3] 18/25 36/21 37/1 informed [1] 21/22 initially [2] 3/22 3/23

institutions [1] 27/17 **intend [4]** 9/18 9/18 13/20 35/1 **intended** [1] 9/12 intends [1] 34/10

intent [1] 34/5 interpretation [1] 15/5 interrupted [1] 5/23

interrupts [1] 4/12 investigated [1] 6/19

United States District Court

GOLDBERG [4] 2/3

Document 1903

57648

# 17/01 10/12 10/04 10/16 inviting [1] 23/12 involved [2] 18/9 18/10 IRBESARTAN [1] 1/4 **issue [42]** issues [12] 5/8 9/6 14/17 14/19 14/19 18/15 18/19 22/7 24/4 30/24 33/7 35/22 it's [29] 3/17 7/3 7/5 14/2 14/9 15/4 15/4 15/7 15/7 16/12 16/15 17/12 18/16 18/17 19/15 20/12 21/2 23/18 24/17 26/20 27/12 28/3 28/9 28/9 29/25 31/4 33/20 34/1 36/6 item [1] 7/14 jam [1] 14/3 **January [2]** 6/14 32/4 **January 25th [1]** 6/14 **January 27th** [1] 32/4 **JERSEY [7**] 1/1 1/8 1/16 28/7 28/8 28/9 33/18 job [1] 30/12 John [4] 1/21 4/5 4/11 38/9 Johnjkurz [1] 1/22 **JOHNSTON** [1] 2/14 **joining** [1] 24/16 joint [1] 25/5 **JUDGE [24]** 1/11 3/6 3/17 3/24 4/10 4/17 6/13 12/9 12/23 14/1 15/22 15/23 17/21 18/13 18/24 19/16 23/24 24/3 24/9 24/15 24/17 33/8 36/2 37/24 judgment [2] 22/18 23/14 **Judicial [1]** 2/21 juncture [1] 22/21 juror [1] 27/24 just [29] 4/19 5/1 6/25 7/3 10/21 10/22 11/3 11/8 11/13 11/21 15/13 16/14 18/7 18/18 18/18 18/19 20/15 20/22 23/21 26/2 28/19 29/1 29/21 30/16 31/5 34/22 34/23 35/15 36/4 K KANNER [1] 1/17 **KATZ** [1] 1/14 keep [2] 16/5 34/23 Kettering [3] 28/20 28/23 29/4 kin [3] 8/9 10/2 10/13 know [42] knowing [2] 12/23 35/7

I	17/21 18/13 18/24 19/16	]
inviting [1] 23/12	23/24 24/3 24/10 24/15 24/18	]
involved [2] 18/9 18/10	Kugler's [2] 6/13 12/9	]
IRBESARTAN [1] 1/4 issue [42]	Kurz [2] 1/21 38/9	]
issues [12] 5/8 9/6 14/17	L	]
14/19 14/19 18/15 18/19	land [2] 6/21 18/3	]
22/7 24/4 30/24 33/7	Larry [2] 2/20 4/23	]
35/22	last [6] 9/2 20/15 26/1	]
it's [29] 3/17 7/3 7/5 14/2 14/9 15/4 15/4 15/7 15/7	26/4 26/10 32/22	]
16/12 16/15 17/12 18/16	late [2] 8/8 12/24	]
18/17 19/15 20/12 21/2	<b>Laughter</b> [1] 7/9 <b>law</b> [5] 2/21 6/13 6/17	]
23/18 24/17 26/20 27/12	27/13 33/18	]
28/3 28/9 28/9 29/25 31/4 33/20 34/1 36/6	lawyers [1] 18/6	]
item [1] 7/14	leaches [1] 15/6	]
	least [5] 12/20 18/10 30/6	
<u>J</u>	30/13 36/13 <b>Lee</b> [1] 6/5	]
jam [1] 14/3	left [1] 16/9	
January [2] 6/14 32/4	legitimate [4] 16/16	
January 25th [1] 6/14 January 27th [1] 32/4	17/10 30/17 30/21	
JERSEY [7] 1/1 1/8 1/16	let [6] 3/12 9/13 9/15	]
28/7 28/8 28/9 33/18	20/14 33/5 35/16 let's [12] 3/19 4/2 4/13	]
job [1] 30/12	11/12 11/22 14/6 20/4	]
<b>John [4]</b> 1/21 4/5 4/11 38/9	20/6 24/25 28/12 28/12	
Johnjkurz [1] 1/22	28/16	]
JOHNSTON [1] 2/14	letter [14] 5/3 5/22 6/1	]
joining [1] 24/16	7/17 8/21 9/6 9/14 20/13 21/4 22/20 25/7 32/4 32/9	)
joint [1] 25/5	35/4	
<b>JUDGE [24]</b> 1/11 3/6 3/17 3/24 4/10 4/17 6/13	letters [4] 7/15 32/4 35/8	]
12/9 12/23 14/1 15/22	36/5	١,
15/23 17/21 18/13 18/24	letting [1] 10/21 levity [1] 33/3	]
19/16 23/24 24/3 24/9	LIABILITY [1] 1/4	
24/15 24/17 33/8 36/2 37/24	liaison [1] 34/6	
judgment [2] 22/18 23/14	like [7] 4/18 19/4 25/10	]
Judicial [1] 2/21	28/20 29/4 30/20 35/22	١,
juncture [1] 22/21	likely [1] 13/3 limited [1] 14/18	]
juror [1] 27/24	line [2] 33/16 37/21	]
<b>just</b> [ <b>29</b> ] 4/19 5/1 6/25 7/3 10/21 10/22 11/3 11/8	link [1] 37/22	]
11/13 11/21 15/13 16/14	listed [1] 25/7	١,
18/7 18/18 18/18 18/19	listing [1] 26/1 listings [1] 25/22	
20/15 20/22 23/21 26/2	litigate [1] 22/17	]
28/19 29/1 29/21 30/16 31/5 34/22 34/23 35/15	litigation [5] 1/5 28/25	]
36/4	29/6 29/10 34/7	1
	little [1] 26/11	١.
K	live [1] 27/10 LLC [4] 1/14 1/17 2/6	]
KANNER [1] 1/17	2/11	]
<b>KATZ</b> [1] 1/14 <b>keep</b> [2] 16/5 34/23	LLP [3] 2/2 2/8 2/14	
Kettering [3] 28/20 28/23	LOCKARD [4] 2/9 14/10	
29/4	18/22 31/4 logistical [1] 34/13	,
kin [3] 8/9 10/2 10/13	long [4] 5/12 13/9 22/5	]
know [42]	37/13	
knowing [2] 12/23 35/7 knowledgeable [1] 36/15	longer [1] 29/15	]
knows [5] 12/18 13/8	longstanding [1] 30/18	)
18/16 24/24 28/20	look [4] 4/18 6/10 23/9 37/14	]
KRISTEN [2] 2/15 34/3	looked [1] 6/8	
<b>KUGLER [16]</b> 1/10 2/21	looking [2] 29/7 29/12	]
3/2 4/17 12/23 14/1 15/23	] = -	l

Loretta [6] 2/21 6/13 6/18 7/1 37/21 37/22 Los [2] 2/16 7/11 LOSARTAN [1] 1/3 loss [1] 20/22 lost [1] 6/21 lot [1] 30/3 LOTMAN [6] 2/4 5/6 9/1 11/16 11/19 11/20 **Louisiana** [1] 1/19 Louissant [1] 25/16 Ls [1] 20/8 Ltd [2] 2/6 2/11 М MacStravic [1] 2/20 made [1] 34/24 Maedler [1] 25/9 major [1] 19/4 make [12] 11/21 17/22 18/19 18/19 22/2 23/19 24/23 27/24 30/25 32/16 32/17 34/24 makes [2] 31/23 31/24 making [1] 36/7 man [1] 10/2 MANAGEMENT [2] 1/5 monitoring [3] 20/7 25/17 manner [1] 11/11 manufacturer [4] 32/8 32/9 32/13 32/15 manufacturers [3] 32/4 33/18 34/11 many [5] 18/14 21/17 27/16 27/18 27/19 **March [13]** 12/18 12/19 12/22 12/23 12/25 12/25 15/15 15/25 16/2 26/6 26/6 29/22 30/8 **March 10th [3]** 12/18 15/15 16/2 March 10th and [1] 12/22 March 10th on [1] 15/25 March 1st [3] 12/23 26/6 29/22 March 5 [1] 30/8 March 8th [1] 12/19 master [3] 1/12 3/3 21/21 material [1] 33/16 **matter [7]** 7/21 11/9 12/3 15/5 22/12 25/13 38/6 matters [4] 5/25 15/24 23/5 25/16 may [14] 6/25 12/8 15/5 17/20 19/24 22/13 22/14 23/11 23/12 23/13 28/8 28/15 30/5 33/15 maybe [9] 6/18 18/16 26/10 30/15 35/2 35/5 36/2 36/22 37/3 MAZIE [1] 1/14 md [1] 1/4 me [12] 3/12 3/15 4/9 6/13 7/3 23/13 23/25 28/2 Mr. Wolfe's [2] 9/19 30/7 32/5 35/16 37/22 mean [4] 18/23 33/17

36/21 36/25 meantime [1] 11/12 mechanical [1] 1/23 medical [3] 20/7 20/15 21/21 meet [7] 21/8 22/2 22/4 22/11 36/6 36/16 36/22 meeting [2] 25/22 26/4 members [1] 4/24 mention [1] 4/16 mentioned [2] 9/7 9/14 methodology [1] 28/4 middle [1] 19/2 midst [1] 10/4 might [1] 36/3 million [1] 28/22 Mills [2] 25/13 25/16 mind [1] 16/5 minimal [1] 27/13 **minimum** [1] 23/1 mistake [1] 6/6 **Mitchell** [1] 1/7 moment [2] 20/22 33/2 momentarily [2] 24/11 24/16 Monday [1] 12/15 20/16 21/21 month [3] 13/11 25/20 25/21 month's [1] 25/15 more [8] 4/10 13/2 13/24 18/25 21/24 23/15 33/14 morning [13] 3/4 3/5 8/2 8/5 9/9 14/9 20/10 24/17 24/19 24/20 25/3 34/2 36/6 MORRIS [1] 2/2 most [1] 24/24 motion [6] 23/12 23/13 23/14 26/18 27/1 27/5 motions [1] 17/23 move [4] 14/6 16/4 16/11 20/6 moved [2] 10/25 16/17 **Mr. [22]** 4/9 5/14 6/2 7/23 8/7 8/16 9/9 9/11 9/19 9/22 10/6 10/12 10/23 16/19 19/21 20/5 21/25 23/20 24/8 25/1 34/17 35/9 **Mr. Goldberg [2]** 24/8 35/9 Mr. Harkins [1] 25/1 Mr. O'Neill [2] 21/25 23/20 Mr. Slater [8] 4/9 5/14 6/2 7/23 16/19 19/21 20/5 34/17 Mr. Stanoch [2] 8/16 9/22 Mr. Wolfe [5] 8/7 9/9 9/11 10/6 10/12 10/23 Ms. [10] 5/11 8/4 9/8

11/16 11/19 11/20 18/22 20/21 21/25 23/20 **Ms. Daring [2]** 21/25 23/20 Ms. Fatigato [2] 8/4 20/21 Ms. Fatigato's [2] 5/11 **Ms. Lockard [1]** 18/22 **Ms. Lotman [2]** 11/19 11/20 **Ms. Walker [1]** 11/16 **much [3]** 13/24 23/23 31/7 **multiple** [1] 8/11 must [1] 6/20 mute [3] 3/20 4/6 4/12 my [4] 3/23 9/10 17/21 30/12 name [1] 6/5

**named** [2] 6/4 21/21 **nature** [3] 10/5 10/16 30/18 **NE** [1] 2/10 near [1] 37/12 nearly [2] 21/6 22/1 necessary [3] 8/18 27/12 32/17 need [16] 5/4 5/10 5/18 9/19 11/3 17/21 19/7 23/12 23/20 26/20 26/24 27/14 32/12 32/13 36/24 37/4 needed [1] 5/9 needs [4] 4/22 5/15 13/24 21/12 **negotiate** [1] 36/14 neither [1] 27/12 **new [16]** 1/1 1/8 1/16 1/19 13/12 13/13 14/18 15/7 15/18 15/19 17/13 28/7 28/8 28/9 32/20 33/17 next [19] 8/9 10/2 10/12 10/15 11/8 11/22 13/11 20/4 20/6 22/24 23/10 25/14 25/17 25/19 25/21 25/22 26/3 32/22 37/22 **no [18]** 5/19 7/5 10/11 10/12 10/14 13/18 17/14 20/13 22/11 26/14 29/10 29/10 29/16 31/7 32/2 33/9 34/15 36/11 None [1] 4/25 not [45] **noted** [1] 32/19 **nothing [6]** 10/22 24/2 24/7 35/20 35/21 35/24 **notice [4]** 9/4 23/24 33/18 33/19 **noticed** [1] 10/24 notification [1] 9/17 notion [1] 33/4 November [2] 9/11 10/25 now [20] 4/15 8/9 9/14

pump [1] 28/9

now... [17] 9/15 12/21 13/15 14/16 17/7 18/6 19/14 20/9 20/13 21/2 21/3 22/12 23/21 24/24 26/11 32/25 37/13 number [4] 1/3 12/25 20/23 32/11 **numbers** [1] 6/16 0 O'Neill [3] 20/8 21/25 23/20 **object** [1] 35/16 observers [1] 18/8 **obviously [4]** 17/9 18/20 27/3 32/14 off [1] 4/1 offer [2] 17/13 19/6 **offered** [3] 15/16 15/16 17/14 **Office** [1] 6/3 offices [1] 32/21 **Official [2]** 1/21 38/3 okay [20] 4/13 6/22 7/14 8/1 11/20 11/20 14/6 19/11 24/11 25/8 25/12 25/19 26/4 31/1 31/16 32/3 32/23 35/15 35/17 37/4 once [2] 8/11 10/6 one [**19**] 6/1 6/7 7/7 7/10 9/2 9/6 12/20 12/22 12/22 13/1 16/1 22/11 24/3 25/6 27/20 30/2 30/6 34/20 36/11 ongoing [1] 15/24 **only [7]** 4/12 16/8 18/16 18/17 22/7 28/4 34/20 open [1] 15/1 opinion [7] 13/22 15/7 17/11 28/5 29/8 29/9 29/12 opinions [17] 12/12 13/13 13/14 13/16 14/20 14/23 14/24 15/2 16/25 17/3 17/5 17/9 17/13 17/14 17/15 17/18 17/24 opportunity [5] 26/13 27/6 27/19 31/10 35/12 opposition [1] 22/6 order [1] 25/14 orders [3] 25/2 25/6 25/10 **Originally** [1] 10/24 **Orleans** [1] 1/19 other [23] 7/11 12/20 13/2 13/4 15/20 15/24 16/2 16/8 17/10 19/19 24/4 25/22 27/17 27/21 30/10 31/9 31/25 34/7 34/21 34/24 35/8 35/19 35/22 others [1] 3/6 otherwise [1] 35/7

ought [1] 36/22

our [21] 4/13 4/18 9/6 9/6

Case 1:19-md-02875-RMB-SAK 9/9 12/14 13/5 15/6 19/15 20/12 20/12 22/15 22/24 23/17 24/23 25/7 34/4 34/13 35/4 36/6 36/17 out [12] 5/7 6/6 7/5 7/12 11/4 17/25 18/16 19/7 22/3 26/10 28/10 28/17 outset [2] 4/15 4/20 outside [1] 19/5 outstanding [1] 5/4 over [2] 13/9 27/21 overlap [2] 13/3 18/6 overlaps [1] 12/9 overlooked [1] 6/21 own [2] 28/9 31/11 papers [1] 13/5 **paperwork** [1] 8/18 Park [1] 2/15 Parkway [1] 1/15 part [7] 4/22 5/2 12/8 13/19 17/3 17/6 24/6 parte [3] 34/25 35/6 35/16 partial [1] 23/14 participate [3] 33/10 33/11 34/6 participated [1] 27/18 participating [1] 33/21 particularly [1] 37/9 parties [9] 21/16 21/17 21/20 22/9 32/12 32/15 32/19 35/6 35/8 parts [2] 17/25 34/7 party [3] 36/10 36/11 36/11

passed [5] 7/20 8/7 9/11

10/3 10/12

passing [1] 10/23

past [2] 9/20 23/8

Pause [2] 3/14 24/13

Pennsylvania [1] 2/5

**people [2]** 30/24 37/7

**person [2]** 8/19 27/5

**personal** [1] 10/5

Pharma [1] 2/11

PFS [1] 25/7

2/6 2/11

37/11

**period** [2] 16/11 16/14

pharmacy [2] 2/16 34/3

14/13 14/23 17/6 17/19

**phase** [6] 13/8 13/23

Philadelphia [1] 2/5

**phone** [3] 3/23 4/24

**phones** [1] 3/20

pick [2] 4/7 28/19

**Piedmont** [1] 2/10

place [2] 8/23 36/9

placed [1] 23/17

**plainly [1]** 15/16

plaintiff's [1] 6/5

9/12 33/7

plaintiff [5] 6/4 6/16 7/7

**plaintiffs [26]** 1/16 1/19 5/10 5/15 8/3 9/24 12/5 12/7 19/1 20/9 20/11 21/19 21/21 21/24 22/1 23/7 23/19 24/2 25/25 30/1 30/5 33/13 35/20 35/25 36/4 36/7 plaintiffs' [10] 5/12 7/17 9/4 9/5 9/10 14/17 14/20 16/8 21/22 30/7 **plan [1]** 11/4 please [2] 24/22 37/22 point [13] 10/14 10/22 11/4 12/25 17/23 26/19 26/19 27/4 27/9 27/21 28/2 29/2 37/13 points [4] 21/17 26/25 27/2 27/6 pony [1] 27/20 **position** [**5**] 8/12 15/6 16/22 19/22 20/12 possibility [2] 29/6 30/15 **possible** [1] 23/6 **potential** [1] 10/1 potentially [1] 15/21 **practice** [2] 23/12 26/3 **preference** [1] 36/18 prejudice [1] 10/14 **preparing** [1] 16/15 present [3] 2/19 23/25 26/13 **presented** [2] 14/20 18/20 **presenting** [1] 34/12 press [1] 4/24 **previous** [1] 19/7 principal [1] 3/20 **Prinston** [1] 2/5 **prior [2]** 14/25 26/3 probably [5] 7/7 18/6 19/12 24/3 37/8 **problem [5]** 4/7 7/6 34/15 35/9 35/10 **problems** [1] 19/25 procedurally [1] 22/21 **proceed** [10] 4/13 5/12 5/21 9/12 9/18 9/18 11/1 11/13 11/22 19/13 proceedings [4] 1/23 3/1 Pharmaceutical [1] 2/11 38/2 38/6 Pharmaceuticals [3] 2/5 process [4] 12/17 17/4 18/25 36/14 produce [1] 30/6 produced [1] 1/24 product [1] 35/2 **PRODUCTS** [1] 1/4 professional [2] 20/18

pure [1] 29/1 purely [1] 28/13 purpose [2] 26/17 36/7 purposes [1] 16/7 **put** [6] 5/7 12/19 16/21 22/8 27/20 35/4 **putative** [1] 22/3 putting [1] 15/14 qualifications [6] 16/24 17/5 17/8 27/12 27/13 27/14 **Quality** [1] 6/3 question [12] 4/12 4/21 6/2 7/25 9/9 9/16 28/23 30/2 30/2 30/13 31/16 31/19 questioning [1] 20/2 questions [10] 12/10 15/8 requests [1] 20/19 15/10 26/8 29/24 31/3 31/5 31/13 31/25 35/19 quickly [2] 6/11 17/2 quite [2] 20/14 29/19 R-A-L-E-I-G-H [1] 7/20 radar [2] 19/15 23/17 raise [4] 4/21 9/6 30/13 35/22 raised [8] 13/4 14/17 18/5 19/15 26/5 26/25 27/6 31/13 raising [1] 6/14 Raleigh [1] 7/19 rapidly [2] 9/20 9/21 **RBK** [1] 1/4 **RE** [1] 1/3 reach [1] 19/7 read [1] 36/20 real [2] 22/7 30/13 really [9] 12/25 13/4 14/2 retailers [1] 33/1 16/1 20/14 27/16 29/7 32/17 33/11 reason [3] 15/20 16/16 33/9 reasonable [4] 14/2 20/19 33/14 33/20 **REBECCA** [2] 2/3 21/15 rebut [1] 14/19 rebuttal [1] 31/10 received [2] 7/17 23/24 recently [1] 7/20 recess [2] 4/4 24/14 record [6] 4/1 17/2 17/22 18/2 20/20 38/6 recorded [1] 1/23 redial [1] 5/2 redirect [1] 31/10 regarding [1] 10/23 rehashing [2] 15/2 15/9 related [1] 36/3 relist [1] 25/17 rely [1] 26/15 remainder [1] 16/8 remains [1] 9/9

reminder [1] 15/14 reopened [1] 37/6 report [4] 15/4 15/7 17/25 26/21 reporter [5] 1/21 3/18 5/23 24/23 38/10 **REPORTER'S** [1] 38/3 Reporter/Transcriber [1] 38/10 **reports** [10] 13/8 13/9 13/21 14/13 14/16 14/18 14/21 15/3 17/6 26/15 representative [5] 5/4 7/16 8/19 10/11 11/10 representatives [9] 20/7 20/16 20/21 21/8 22/2 22/4 22/10 23/7 23/8 request [6] 13/1 13/5 18/13 32/23 32/25 34/24 requesting [1] 32/9 **require** [1] 4/19 **required** [1] 32/21 **requirement** [1] 26/14 resend [1] 6/18 resolution [2] 22/8 22/12 resolve [1] 23/5 resolved [5] 7/19 8/5 8/22 23/12 25/10 resources [1] 22/10 respect [6] 9/2 11/5 12/1 19/17 20/1 23/20 respectful [1] 11/3 respective [1] 27/24 respond [5] 21/10 26/18 26/19 26/24 26/24 response [6] 5/19 13/5 15/18 31/11 31/12 32/2 resume [1] 26/2 **RET [2]** 1/11 3/3 retail [1] 34/3 **Retailer** [1] 2/16 retain [2] 34/8 34/10 **RICHER [2]** 2/15 34/3 **right [30]** 3/12 3/13 3/15 3/19 4/3 4/13 5/1 5/14 5/17 6/12 6/23 6/24 8/13 8/24 9/22 11/15 11/22 17/15 19/14 20/4 20/9 21/13 23/3 23/21 24/8 24/9 24/15 24/25 30/22 37/15 **Rite** [1] 2/17 RMR [1] 38/9 RMR-CRR-CRC [1] 38/9 **Road** [1] 2/10 **ROBERT [3]** 1/10 2/21 3/2 roll [1] 33/5 **Roseland** [1] 1/16 round [1] 13/15 rule [1] 16/23 rules [1] 24/22 ruling [2] 22/22 34/4

30/17

23/6 35/17

prompt [1] 22/12

promptly [4] 8/10 9/15

**propose** [2] 29/20 30/6

proposed [1] 20/16

**provided** [1] 14/16

**provides** [1] 17/24

provide [1] 13/2

**public** [1] 4/24

		57650		Index: safetrue
S	shouldn't [2] 21/18 22/9	STATES [2] 1/1 1/11	Telephonic [1] 38/2	37/7 37/10
	show [5] 25/2 25/6 25/11	station [5] 28/10 28/14	tell [1] 18/6	they [34] 3/20 5/3 5/9 7/7
safe [1] 37/15	25/14 27/20	28/16 28/18 28/24	ten [1] 14/14	9/13 9/14 9/15 9/17 9/18
said [5] 9/15 10/25 13/17	shows [1] 20/20	status [1] 36/13	terms [5] 12/10 15/13	10/11 12/16 12/19 13/1
17/20 34/9	sic [1] 24/23	Stay [2] 37/15 37/15	16/4 19/9 19/19	13/4 13/17 14/12 14/13
same [11] 6/4 6/4 6/15	side [4] 5/18 15/25 20/22	stays [1] 4/11	testify [1] 13/7	14/13 14/22 15/2 19/5
7/6 18/21 19/23 29/12 29/12 34/11 34/14 34/22	31/9	stenography [1] 1/23	testimony [8] 13/18	20/15 21/5 21/7 21/24
SARAH [1] 2/14	side's [1] 27/21	steps [1] 8/10	14/25 18/2 26/16 26/21	22/2 27/24 27/25 30/25
saw [1] 12/15	sides [2] 29/20 32/12	Steve [1] 25/4	27/11 29/17 31/8	33/1 33/13 33/13 36/20
say [15] 10/11 16/14 18/5	significant [1] 32/14	<b>STEVEN</b> [1] 2/9	Teva [3] 2/11 2/11 25/4	37/1
19/5 19/16 21/7 21/24	silence [2] 4/6 5/20	stick [1] 28/11	than [3] 15/20 29/16	they'd [1] 9/15
27/9 31/9 34/4 35/7 35/7	similar [1] 20/19	still [4] 3/9 3/16 19/9	33/14	they'll [4] 7/11 23/9 26/5
36/4 36/10 36/20	similarly [1] 25/14	37/8	thank [25] 6/24 11/6 11/7	
saying [1] 18/4	simply [3] 10/11 21/7	stone [1] 27/9	11/14 11/15 11/20 16/18	they're [9] 13/15 15/8
says [2] 36/11 37/1	34/5	straighten [1] 7/12	20/4 23/22 23/23 24/8	16/14 17/3 17/6 23/19
schedule [4] 15/24 17/23	single [1] 10/1	Street [2] 1/18 2/4	24/12 30/3 31/2 32/24	32/16 33/18 36/10
18/5 18/18	sit [2] 33/5 34/5	Streets [1] 1/7	33/2 34/16 35/18 37/5	they've [2] 12/21 27/19
<b>scheduled [3]</b> 9/19 12/20	<b>situation [5]</b> 8/6 9/24 11/3 16/21 21/10	stricken [2] 18/1 18/1	37/17 37/18 37/19 37/20 37/25 38/1	thing [3] 6/1 34/20 35/5 things [3] 4/16 29/22
25/17	size [1] 33/15	strike [1] 13/21 structure [1] 29/5	Thanks [2] 4/3 7/4	35/7
scheduling [11] 12/24	CI ATED [12] 1/1/ 1/15	study [1] 29/5	Thanks [2] 4/3 //4 Thanksgiving [1] 10/4	think [50]
14/2 14/7 16/4 18/4 18/25	4/9 5/14 6/2 7/23 12/6	study [1] 29/3 stuff [1] 28/1		think [30]
19/1 19/17 25/25 30/2	16/19 19/21 20/5 29/25	subject [1] 12/3	10/13 13/25 15/12 18/2	this [64]
30/12	31/24 34/17	submission [1] 36/20	18/5 18/17 19/12 20/3	THOMAS [2] 1/11 3/2
science [2] 28/3 29/1	Sloan [3] 28/19 28/22	submissions [1] 34/25	20/6 22/11 23/3 27/8	Thorn [1] 25/16
scientific [1] 29/4	29/4	submit [2] 35/1 35/6	27/21 28/7 29/6 29/12	<b>THORNBURG</b> [1] 2/14
scientist [1] 28/13	smart [1] 33/13	<b>submitting</b> [1] 22/19	29/13 30/8 30/17 31/19	thoroughly [1] 14/14
scientists [1] 29/11	Smith [2] 2/21 6/13	substantially [1] 6/4	31/20	those [13] 6/7 13/9 13/16
<b>scope [9]</b> 12/10 12/11 13/6 15/12 16/23 18/12	Smoot [1] 6/5	substantive [1] 22/7	their [19] 3/20 8/21 9/14	15/25 17/15 18/10 25/10
19/5 20/1 20/1	so [68]	substantively [1] 22/21	12/2 13/5 14/22 14/25	25/19 26/7 28/14 28/14
screens [2] 19/15 23/17	<b>Solco</b> [1] 2/6	substitute [1] 10/2	15/3 17/5 17/8 21/6 22/2	29/22 33/7
seal [1] 5/13	some [21] 11/4 12/25	<b>substituted</b> [1] 10/13	22/20 31/11 32/5 32/10	<b>though [1]</b> 19/6
second [3] 15/13 25/22	13/12 13/14 15/20 16/22	such [1] 29/5	32/11 32/16 32/21	thought [3] 5/3 35/2 35/5
31/16	17/20 18/10 18/25 26/8	sufficient [1] 29/17		three [3] 19/2 22/1 34/11
section [1] 25/7	26/8 28/13 32/4 33/7	suggest [1] 18/24		three-day [1] 19/2
see [8] 3/13 3/21 14/6	33/14 33/15 34/12 35/2	suggested [1] 34/5	16/13 17/4 17/7 17/14	through [7] 10/16 15/24
17/1 17/9 19/16 31/17	36/5 37/9 37/13	suggesting [1] 23/13	21/1 29/15 31/20 31/21	16/7 17/16 18/24 34/6
35/8	somebody [1] 28/20	Suite [2] 2/10 2/15	32/21 33/7 33/20 36/9 36/23 37/3	36/16 Thursday [1], 21/20
seeking [1] 22/12	somehow [1] 33/4 someone [3] 7/24 29/4	summary [2] 22/18 23/14 supplement [1] 17/18	themselves [1] 33/1	<b>Thursday</b> [1] 31/20 till [1] 34/22
<b>selection [1]</b> 32/17	30/17	supplements [1] 14/17	then [22] 4/13 6/7 8/7 8/9	
<b>selections</b> [1] 32/11	something [9] 5/18 8/12	suppose [2] 13/5 28/12	8/14 11/22 13/4 15/7	13/20 14/3 17/23 18/21
send [1] 37/22	13/25 17/17 18/17 23/18	supposed [1] 13/22	17/22 17/24 19/18 23/9	19/23 20/2 20/3 21/4
sense [3] 17/21 31/23	30/16 30/18 30/20	sure [15] 11/21 15/23	24/10 24/11 25/20 27/5	21/12 21/24 22/3 22/10
31/24	sometime [1] 9/11	18/19 18/19 22/2 23/5	27/23 29/21 31/9 31/21	22/22 23/2 23/16 26/10
sensitive [3] 10/23 11/2	soon [1] 37/16	23/9 24/23 30/19 32/16	32/23 35/13	29/23 32/11 32/17 36/8
11/9	sorry [3] 5/24 10/20	32/18 33/22 34/15 35/1	there [25] 6/1 6/15 10/11	timely [1] 11/10
sensitively [1] 10/5 sent [2] 6/13 6/14	10/21	36/7	11/9 14/25 16/6 16/10	times [1] 8/11
sent [2] 0/13 0/14 sentence [1] 21/7	sort [1] 27/8	surely [1] 37/6	17/10 17/13 19/18 19/25	timing [2] 12/2 15/13
separate [3] 34/8 34/9	sought [1] 34/11	<b>symposiums</b> [1] 27/18	20/14 22/16 23/25 29/3	today [4] 18/24 24/1
34/10	<b>sound [1]</b> 19/4	T	29/10 29/10 29/16 30/15	33/25 35/23
set [3] 20/12 27/9 32/8	South [1] 2/4		31/7 31/8 33/6 34/14	together [4] 24/10 29/19
<b>SETH [2]</b> 2/3 32/7	speak [5] 6/25 24/22 25/1	take [17] 6/23 7/22 8/10	36/12 36/22	37/8 37/14
settle [1] 33/12	32/6 34/17	8/23 11/11 12/1 13/18	there's [20] 4/15 7/6 8/14	
settlement [8] 32/3 33/11	SPECIAL [2] 1/12 3/3	17/22 18/17 19/22 20/25		too [3] 23/11 30/24 37/13
33/14 33/19 33/21 33/23	<b>specific [3]</b> 16/25 29/20 37/3	23/9 25/19 26/13 27/1 29/15 34/22		towards [1] 17/8
34/8 36/3	spill [1] 12/9	taken [4] 4/4 13/10 20/13	23/15 26/8 26/14 30/13 30/21 30/23 30/24 32/19	tracked [1] 12/21 tracks [1] 16/1
<b>settling</b> [1] 33/17	spokesperson [1] 3/20	24/14	33/9	Transcriber [1] 38/10
seven [2] 10/10 21/6	stage [2] 13/19 27/23	taking [1] 32/11	these [33] 12/16 13/6	transcript [2] 1/23 38/5
several [1] 20/21	stage [2] 13/13/21/23 stand [2] 8/15/20/9	talk [5] 7/15 15/19 25/22	13/12 13/14 13/18 13/21	transcription [1] 1/24
she [3] 6/14 27/18 27/18	stands [2] 19/6 19/9	33/25 37/16	14/3 15/17 15/17 15/20	TRAURIG [4] 2/8 14/10
she's [1] 18/4	STANOCH [7] 1/18 8/3	talked [2] 20/22 26/5	16/4 16/11 16/13 17/3	25/4 31/5
shortly [1] 9/14	8/16 9/22 9/23 20/11	talking [2] 10/1 14/12	17/6 17/12 18/7 18/19	treatment [1] 28/21
should [13] 4/19 16/1	22/25	technical [1] 16/23		tried [2] 10/23 11/3
17/25 18/1 19/21 21/11 22/4 22/8 24/15 31/22	start [3] 12/8 14/4 26/6	teleconference [1] 3/1		triple [1] 12/21
33/22 35/6 36/25	starting [1] 32/22	TELEPHONE [1] 1/6	29/22 31/8 32/12 34/25	<b>true</b> [1] 28/10
55122 5510 50125				

57651 Tridex: tryZoom					
T	want [18] 7/7 15/1 15/9	Whereupon [3] 4/1 4/4	you [135]		
	15/10 18/15 21/24 23/5	24/14	you'd [1] 35/22		
try [1] 19/11	26/13 26/19 27/10 27/25	whether [11] 4/22 6/6 6/6			
<b>trying [5]</b> 6/6 7/21 11/2 22/17 32/10	31/17 32/6 33/4 33/20	7/21 9/17 15/5 21/25 22/3	you're [14] 3/24 4/5 4/7		
turns [1] 18/16	33/25 34/4 37/7	26/12 28/3 28/23	7/19 7/21 16/21 19/18		
two [13] 6/3 6/15 7/6	wanted [11] 4/16 4/20	<b>which [9]</b> 8/9 11/23 12/19			
12/18 16/1 18/18 20/8	4/21 6/1 7/14 11/21 18/18	13/4 16/9 18/9 20/21 30/5			
20/15 21/7 21/7 25/9 26/1	18/19 23/25 26/2 34/17	32/20	your [89]		
29/16	wants [4] 28/17 32/16 33/7 34/8	<b>while [3]</b> 10/15 21/9 22/15	yourself [1] 24/23		
type [1] 35/5	wasn't [2] 6/9 36/22	WHITELEY [1] 1/17	<u>yourselves [1]</u> 19/19		
U	waste [1] 22/9	who [6] 10/2 16/6 18/7	$\mathbf{Z}$		
	way [6] 17/10 19/12	18/16 28/20 35/3	Zhejiang [1] 2/6		
U.S [2] 1/7 2/6 uncomfortable [1] 37/8	26/23 26/23 29/12 36/15	who's [9] 8/24 10/13 12/4			
under [4] 5/13 20/25	we [131]	14/8 20/9 21/13 23/6 23/6	<b>Zoom</b> [6] 26/7 27/10		
33/17 35/3	<b>We'd</b> [1] 9/25	26/25	29/21 37/7 37/10 37/11		
understand [10] 7/2 7/16	we'll [30] 3/21 4/6 4/13	whoever [1] 33/18			
9/3 27/13 30/3 30/11 31/7	4/17 5/21 6/23 7/5 8/10	wholesalers [1] 33/1			
34/4 34/7 36/7	8/10 11/7 11/11 15/19	why [9] 3/25 17/20 18/5			
understanding [1] 8/3	19/11 20/2 21/10 23/4 23/21 24/10 24/11 25/19	22/11 28/4 29/8 33/9			
understood [2] 10/25	26/6 27/10 29/21 29/21	33/22 35/15 wide [1] 29/3			
31/15	30/25 34/14 37/10 37/10	will [26] 5/9 6/2 7/13			
undertaking [1] 32/15	37/11 37/16	7/18 7/21 7/24 8/19 8/22			
underway [2] 16/10 22/7	we're [27] 3/16 6/5 8/9	9/17 17/23 17/24 19/5			
<b>unfortunately [2]</b> 10/6 12/16	8/12 9/14 10/1 10/4 10/8	19/16 20/17 20/25 23/18			
UNITED [2] 1/1 1/11	10/15 13/17 14/3 14/11	26/1 26/2 27/3 29/16			
unless [2] 3/20 35/16	16/9 16/10 16/13 16/22	29/17 29/20 31/7 31/9			
until [5] 4/18 10/3 12/15	16/24 17/17 17/21 17/22	35/16 37/5			
22/23 25/14	19/2 22/12 26/23 27/7	willing [1] 35/3			
<b>up [11]</b> 4/7 4/20 8/9 9/12	35/4 36/12 36/13 we've [7] 8/11 15/15	winter [1] 8/8 withdraw [1] 25/10			
14/3 15/1 15/14 19/19	15/18 16/2 20/23 25/7	within [2] 15/16 21/3			
24/9 26/5 36/13	36/5	without [4] 5/2 5/13			
upcoming [1] 12/12	Wednesday [1] 1/8	17/16 33/5			
<b>update [2]</b> 11/8 25/6 <b>upon [1]</b> 9/10	week [10] 20/15 30/14	witness [1] 31/11			
us [19] 4/5 4/6 4/7 4/12	30/16 30/20 30/25 31/1	witnesses [8] 13/7 13/18			
4/23 9/13 9/15 12/16 13/2	32/21 32/22 32/22 34/21	17/12 18/7 18/9 18/14			
14/3 18/10 20/14 21/2	weeks [5] 8/23 10/10 21/6				
23/2 24/16 30/3 30/11	29/19 34/11	<b>Wolfe [6]</b> 7/20 8/7 9/9			
34/8 34/10	welcome [1] 27/24 well [15] 8/13 9/20 15/23	9/11 10/6 10/12 <b>Wolfe's [2]</b> 9/19 10/23			
USA [1] 2/11	16/10 20/2 20/24 24/21	work [11] 10/16 14/24			
use [1] 28/7	28/12 31/19 34/13 35/15	15/20 16/13 16/14 19/1			
$ \mathbf{v} $	36/11 36/14 36/19 37/15	19/3 26/10 32/10 35/2			
valid [1] 28/3	went [1] 6/21	35/3			
valsartan [2] 1/3 6/3	were [13] 9/8 9/13 12/17	worked [2] 21/20 30/9			
VANASKIE [5] 1/11 3/2	13/9 13/9 13/10 13/14	working [4] 7/19 9/4 10/5			
3/6 33/8 36/2	14/12 14/13 14/20 17/13	10/8			
various [1] 27/17	18/19 33/6 what [34] 8/10 9/12 10/6	works [1] 3/21 worry [1] 7/2			
varying [1] 27/8	10/17 11/4 13/6 15/9	worse [2] 11/9 11/10			
very [8] 8/13 9/24 10/16	16/24 17/15 17/24 17/25	worst [2] 10/9 21/5			
11/2 12/17 23/23 27/13	17/25 18/1 18/4 18/5	would [41]			
31/7 vet [1] 32/10	18/15 19/16 21/5 23/4	wouldn't [1] 35/7			
vetting [2] 32/14 32/18	27/9 27/17 27/21 28/7	written [1] 26/9			
via [3] 1/6 3/1 22/18	29/7 29/12 29/18 31/10	wrong [2] 4/19 12/4			
VICTORIA [3] 2/9 14/10	33/1 35/1 35/4 36/8 36/9	Y			
31/4	36/23 3 //4				
voluntarily [3] 20/20	what's [2] 15/3 30/4 when [15] 9/17 12/19	Yeah [3] 4/11 7/10 23/3 Year [1] 32/20			
21/1 23/8	13/20 14/3 15/16 15/22	years [1] 22/1			
<b>voluntary [2]</b> 5/11 8/4	15/22 17/23 20/20 20/23	yes [19] 3/7 3/8 3/10 3/11			
$\mathbf{W}$	21/4 21/5 24/22 29/20	3/24 4/14 7/10 8/1 11/17			
W-O-L-F-E [1] 7/20	31/17	16/20 19/9 19/14 24/12			
wait [2] 12/14 19/16	where [6] 8/15 15/2	25/3 25/24 31/12 31/13			
WALKER [2] 2/4 11/16	16/21 18/3 19/22 20/8	34/18 37/24			
				1	